

- 1.1 moves to amend H.F. No. 2511 as follows:
- 1.2 Page 1, strike lines 10 to 13 and insert:
- 1.3 "(2) a description of the capacity of the organization to serve as an authorizer,
- 1.4 including the positions that will perform authorizing duties, the qualifications for those
- 1.5 positions, and the full-time equivalency of those positions, and the financial resources
- 1.6 allocated by the organization for these responsibilities. Information regarding specific
- 1.7 individuals performing authorizing duties may be used in determining the initial
- 1.8 application of an organization to be an approved authorizer. Information regarding specific
- 1.9 individuals performing authorizing duties may not be used in the performance reviews
- 1.10 of the authorizer;"
- 1.11 Page 2, line 14, delete "paragraph (b)" and insert "paragraphs (b) and (c)"
- 1.12 Page 2, delete lines 18 to 23 and insert:
- 1.13 "(b) The performance review criteria used by the commissioner to review an
- 1.14 authorizer's performance must be based on Minnesota criteria and be reviewed after every
- 1.15 cycle of performance review in consultation with authorizers, charter schools, and other
- 1.16 charter school stakeholders.
- 1.17 (c) The commissioner must use all existing department data on the authorizer and the
- 1.18 schools authorized to eliminate duplicate reporting to the greatest extent possible. When
- 1.19 reviewing an authorizer's performance under this subdivision, the commissioner must not
- 1.20 (1) take away points, (2) withhold points, or (3) otherwise penalize an authorizer for not:
- 1.21 chartering additional schools, approving adding grades or sites, or having complaints
- 1.22 against the authorizer's portfolio of charter schools."