**SF 1047**

**Remaining Undecided Language Items**

Thursday, May 12, 2011

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| HAVA funds appropriated for purposes and uses authorized by federal law | **R5: HAVA**  |  |
| Appropriates money to the Humanities Center for grants to three councils | **R14: Structure of appropriations to councils** | Appropriates money directly to the three councils |
| --Differences in amount of reduction--Reference to “benefit changes”, related to SEGIP-- Separate reduction specified for legislature, related to SEGIP | **R19: General reduction** | --IT consolidation referenced as a source of savings |
| No agency legislative liaisons | **R35-36: Legislative Liaisons** |  |
| --R60: offset agreements with federal government in chapter 270C | **R50, 59-60: Federal offset program** | --No offset if debt is being contested or if time for appeal has not expired--Effective immediately--No House provision in chapter 270C.  |
| Requires SEGIP to be high deductible health savings account; specifies deductibles and employer contributions | **R54-55: SEGIP HSA** |  |
| --Excludes peace officers, Military Affairs, Veterans Affairs, Corrections staff with 75% inmate contact, and State Patrol--Base is July l, 2011--Authorizes contract with MSRS for analysis--Specifies no unfair labor practice under PELRA | **R56; 15% reduction in state work force** | --Requires 12% reduction by June 30, 2013--Base is January 1, 2011 |
| --Applies to “state employees”--Requires RFP by July 1 and contract by September 1-- Specifies no duty to negotiate on this issue. | **R60 SEGIP dependent audit** | --Applies to “participants” in SEGIP--Requires RFP by September 1, contract by January 1 |
| RFP for building management | **R61: Building efficiency** |  |
|  RFP for fleet management improvements | **R62: Fleet management** |  |
| Estimates new general fund revenue | **R65: Estimated revenue** |  |
|  | **R66-67: SEGIP Incentive** | Incentives for efficient use of SEGIP |
|  | **R67-74: OET consolidation** | Consolidates state IT in OET |

Finally, In Article 1, there are a number of places where one side has a rider specifying that a portion of an appropriation must be used for a designated purpose. For example, on the bottom of page R6, the Senate has riders specifying appropriations for the Council on Developmental Disabilities and for certain office space costs. The House bill contains appropriations for these items, but does not have riders specifying the appropriations (rather they are contained in a larger appropriation). The conferees will need to decide on these riders when they decide on the various appropriations.