

October 26th, 2017

Representative Sarah Anderson 100 Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Representative Anderson,

At my testimony in front of the State Government Finance Committee on October 12th, 2017 Representative Quam requested further data regarding the situation with security at US Bank Stadium.

I was asked about what the background records were on the individuals noted in my report. Those individuals listed were provided by Monterrey. Our agency had submitted a data request to the BCA for those records and received the following reply:

Access to Background Check results is not available to the Board because the results are "criminal history data" as defined under Minn. Stat. § 13.87, subd. 1. Criminal history data are classified as private, pursuant to section 13.02, subdivision 12. See, Minn. Stat. § 13.87, subd. 1(b). Private data can only be accessed by the subject of that data or with the subject's written, informed consent. The Informed Consent form from the president of Monterrey Security Consultants, Inc. does not provide consent from the individual subjects of the data.

Through other state database research I was however able to find the following:

Person #1:

NOTE: Not allowed to possess, ship, transport a firearm until 1/11/2012

- 1. **3/02/1999:** Arrested for drug possession (Marijuana)
 - a. **Disposition:** Bail
- 2. 3/25/1999: Convicted no child restraint 3 year old
- 3. 5/04/1999: Convicted with 5th Degree Possession:
 - a. NOTE: "Not small amount marijuana"
 - b. Sentence level: Misdemeanor
- 4. **11/08/1999:** Convicted with disorderly conduct
- 5. **12/23/1999:** Probation violation of 5th Degree Possession conviction
- 6. **1/03/2000:** Probation violation of 5th Degree Possession conviction
- 7. **10/11/2001:** Convicted with 2nd Degree Possession:
 - a. **NOTE**: "Possess 6 grams or more"
 - b. Sentence level: Felony
- 8. **11/04/2001:** "Arrested for drug other controlled substance offenses"
 - a. **NOTE:** "MS-Possession of marijuana in a motor vehicle small amount
- 9. **4/12/2001:** Convicted of driving after suspension
- 10. **4/29/2002:** Probation violation of 5th Degree Possession conviction
- 11. 8/27/2002: Sent to St. Cloud Correctional Facility

- a. For 2nd Degree possession of cocaine
- 12. 3/08/2004: Released from DOC
 - a. Mandatory release
- 13. 1/06/2005: Discharged from criminal justice system
- 14. 7/20/2006: Convicted of driving after suspension of license
- 15. 2/02/2008: Convicted of traffic Driver's license driving after suspension
- 16. 8/17/2011: Convicted of "Drivers' Licenses No driver's license in possession or failure to display upon request".
 - a. Sentence level: Petty misdemeanor
- 17. 7/25/2012: Convicted of driving without a valid license or vehicle class/type; multiple license prohibited
- 18. 9/26/2012: Convicted of driving without a valid license for vehicle class or type
- 19. 3/29/2013: Convicted of speeding duty to drive with due care speed greater than reasonable
 - a. Sentence level: Petty misdemeanor
- 20. **4/11/2013**: Convicted Traffic Driver's License Driving after suspension
 - a. Sentence level: Misdemeanor
- 21. 9/10/2013: Convicted of parking in a no parking zone
- 22. 10/08/2013: Convicted of disorderly conduct
 - a. Sentence level: Misdemeanor
- 23. 12/09/2013: Convicted of "Traffic Driver's License Driving after suspension
 - a. Sentence level: Misdemeanor
- 24. 12/09/2013: Charged with
 - a. 2 counts of 5th Degree Assault Misdemeanor
 - b. Disorderly conduct
- 25. **12/13/2013**: Charged with:
 - a. Disorderly conduct
 - b. 5th degree assault Misdemeanor
 - c. Domestic assault Misdemeanor
- 26. 12/11/2014: Arrested for
 - a. Traffic-Driver's License Driving after suspension
 - b. **Disposition**: Warrant/Writ
 - c. Traffic regulation uninsured vehicle owner
 - d. **Disposition**: Warrant/Writ
 - e. Traffic regulation Issues, display, possess insurance ID card when insurance not in force
 - f. **Disposition**: Warrant/Writ
 - g. Disorderly conduct
 - h. 5th Degree Assault Misdemeanor
 - i. Domestic Assault
- 27. **3/17/2015**: Charged with domestic assault-misdemeanor intentionally inflicted/attempted to inflict bodily harm on another
 - a. Charge dismissed
- 28. 3/17/2015: Charged with disorderly conduct-brawling or fighting
 - a. Charge dismissed
- 29. **3/17/2015**: Charges with 5th degree assault Inflicted or attempted to inflict bodily harm
 - a. Charge dismissed
- 30. **7/06/2015:** Convicted of speeding
 - a. Going 91 mph in a 65 mph zone
 - b. Sentence level: Petty misdemeanor

31. 2/10/2016: Convicted of driving after cancellation

Person #2:

- 1. 12/21/2010: Theft-Take/Use/Transfer Movable Prop-No Consent
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Misdemeanor
- 2. 12/13/2012 Theft-Take/Use/Transfer Movable Prop-No Consent
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Misdemeanor
 - b. Disorderly Conduct-Offensive/Abusive/Noisy/Obscene
 - i. Dismissed
- 3. **7/8/2013** Possession or sale of small amount of marijuana
 - a. Level Of Sentence Unavailable/ Sentenced
- 4. **8/2/2013 -** CR-THEFT-SHOPLIFTING-LESS THAN \$500(609-52-2-A-1)
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Misdemeanor
- 5. **9/13/2013-** THEFT Convicted
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Petty Misdemeanor
- 6. **2/2/2013** Receiving/Possessing Stolen Property
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Misdemeanor
- 7. 11/3/2015 Possession of Pistol Without a Permit
 - a. Sentence level: Convicted of a Gross Misdemeanor

Person #5:

- 1. 7/21/2014 Obstruct Legal Process-Interfere w/Peace Officer
 - a. Dismissed
- 2. 11/3/2015 POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA
 - a. Dismissed
- 3. 11/3/2015 MARIJUANA IN M/V (DRIVER/OWNER/PASSENGER <=1.4 GRAMS)
 - a. Convicted of a Petty Misdemeanor
- 4. 1/4/2015 Disorderly Conduct-Brawling or Fighting
 - a. Convicted of a Misdemeanor
- 5. **1/4/2016** Predatory Offender-Knowingly Violates Registration Req. or Intentionally Provides False Information (Not applicable GOC)
 - a. Convicted of a Felony
- 6. 3/22/2016 DRIVING AFTER REVOCATION
 - a. Convicted of a Petty Misdemeanor
- 7. **5/23/2016 -** Predatory Offender-Knowingly Violates Registration Req. or Intentionally Provides False Information (Not applicable GOC)
 - a. Convicted of a Felony
- 8. 6/18/2016 DRUGS POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA
 - a. Convicted of a Petty Misdemeanor
- 9. 1/19/2017 DRIVING AFTER REVOCATION
 - a. Convicted of a Petty Misdemeanor
- 10. 2/7/2017 Theft-Other-\$500 or less
 - a. Convicted of a Misdemeanor

The remaining (2) individuals were admitted by Monterrey to have failed the background check as noted below:

Person #3:

- 1. Monterrey admitted that he failed the background check from the BCA.
- 2.In their audit material submission, we couldn't find where Monterrey submitted a criminal history result letter to us.
- 3. He was hired on 7/22/2016 and terminated 1/02/2017 per Monterrey records.

4.BCA CCH data request results indicate that he was backgrounded on 11/30/2016.

Person #4:

5. Monterrey admitted that he failed the background check from the BCA on 5/26/2016.

6. This individual was found in a criminal history result letter submitted by Monterrey.

7. He was hired on 6/20/2016 and terminated on 12/05/2016 per Monterrey records.

8.BCA CCH data request results indicate that he was backgrounded on 5/26/2016.

These individuals did not show up on a state records database, which leads me to believe their records were outside of Minnesota and identified through the FBI search. Our agency does not have access to that system.

I was also asked for numbers of employees involved with security at these events. For events such as football games and concerts it appears from documents reviewed that the number was approximately **700**. In trying to determine the total number of employees for the company, past and present in a 2 year period, we came up with approximately **1200**. This did not include any employees from out of state.

In regard to my testimony, as a matter of integrity I need to point out that my statement regarding the displaying of identification cards per MN Statute 326.336, Subd. 2 was incorrect. That specific statute actually does not state that ID cards must be displayed. Though it is not mandated by statute it is considered a best practice. In my mind at the time was a recent meeting with the Superbowl security contractor in which displaying of credentials was part of the conversation.

This issue brings up an important point: Our statutes need some serious revision. I have identified a number of loopholes and deficiencies within our statutes that, if revised, would not only improve the safety of our industry, but make processes more efficient for license holders. I would greatly appreciate a meeting with you, any of your committee members, or any other legislators that would work with me in regard to revising our statutes.

Although the purpose of the committee meeting was to discuss the security issues at US Bank Stadium it is important to note some other observations I had. When I attended the September 29th, Saints vs. Vikings Monday Night Football event I also observed a very well designed and clean facility, plenty of services available, an abundance of staff, and a seamless crowd flow. It was a very well managed event.

I realize the focus of our testimony was on the security issues with Monterrey Security. Understand that our agency regulates the entire state of Minnesota. In regard to that I hope the committee understands with current resources we are somewhat limited with what we can do and in a reactive mode. I am not sure what we would find if we conducted the same type of research at other facilities and events.

Sincerely

Gregory J. Cook, CFE, CPP

145%

Executive Director

Minnesota Board of Private Detective and Protective Agent Services

Greg.Cook@state.mn.us Phone: (651) 793-2666

https://dps.mn.gov/entity/pdb