



Active Transportation-related Safety Changes in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act



Highway Safety Improvement Program

Safe System Approach

- Integrated into program in several ways, one example: Changes the definition of intersection projects eligible for HSIP funding from:
 - CURRENT LAW: An intersection safety improvement
 - IIJA: An intersection safety improvement that provides for the safety of all road users, as appropriate, including a multimodal roundabout

Vulnerable Road User (VRU) Safety Assessment

- States must complete a safety assessment within 2 years of enactment
- Assessment must include:
 - A quantitative analysis of vulnerable road user fatalities and serious injuries including:
 - location, roadway functional classification, design speed, speed limit, and time of day;
 - demographics of the locations of fatalities and serious injuries, including race, ethnicity, income, and age;
 - A list of areas identified as 'high-risk' to vulnerable road users; and
 - O A program of projects or strategies to reduce safety risks to vulnerable road users in areas identified as high-risk. Program must consider a safe system approach.

Vulnerable Road User Safety Special Rule

 In states where vulnerable road user fatalities equal 15% or more of overall traffic fatalities, the state must obligate 15% or more of HSIP funds on vulnerable road user safety

Requires FHWA to:

- Create a research plan to develop roadway designs and safety countermeasures that BOTH improve safety of VRU and promote biking and walking.
- Focus on how the following affect safety and rates of bicycling and walking:
 - Roadway safety improvements, including traffic calming and VRU accommodations on suburban arterials
 - o Traffic speeds
 - Access to low stress corridors
 - Tools to evaluate the impact of infrastructure on safety and use of bicyclists and pedestrians

Allows up to 10% of HSIP on Noninfrastructure

 Restores flexibility to allow up to 10% of HIP funds to be spent on safety education and awareness, including Safe Routes to School noninfrastructure





Active Transportation-related Safety Changes in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act

Safe Streets and Roads for All

Discretionary program for local governments

- \$6 billion dollars
 - 40% for Safety Action plans
 - o 60% for implementation of projects
 - o (Ratio may be a drafting error)
- Funding is for infrastructure, education, and enforcement

Planning Provisions

Increasing Safe and Accessible Transportation Options

- Sets aside 2.5% of State and MPO planning funds that can be used for:
 - Complete Streets policies and standards
 - Complete Streets prioritization plans
 - Other active transportation plans that: focus on building networks, connect active transportation to public transit, etc.
 - Plans to increase transit
 - Plans to identify alternatives to increasing highway capacity

Transportation Access Pilot Program

- Requires US DOT to provide accessibility data to eligible entities (states, MPOs and local governments)
- Accessibility data should help measure access across all modes to everyday: destinations including: school, work, grocery stores, health care and shopping
- Goal is for states, MPOs and others to use the data in planning to improve accessibility across all demographics and transportation modes



Authorized Programs

Active Transportation Infrastructure Investment Program

- Discretionary program to fund the building of active transportation networks within a community and spines between communities.
- Would allow a state or local government to build out a network or build a spine vs. apply project by project.

Healthy Streets

 Program to address urban heat island effect, lack of tree cover and flooding in low income communities and communities of color.

Contact Us

Caron Whitaker caron@bikeleague.org

Marisa Jones marisa@saferoutespartnership.org