



180 5<sup>th</sup> St. E. Ste. 260  
St. Paul, MN 55101

651-293-1283  
NFIB.com/MN  
Twitter: @NFIB\_MN

March 25, 2022

**House File 1200 (Richardson)**

Dear Chair Noor and Members of the House Workforce & Business Development Committee,

The National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) is the largest small business organization in Minnesota, with over 10,000 members in every corner of the state. Over 75% of our members have fewer than 10 employees and our mission is to advocate for Main Street.

NFIB opposes House File 1200, which would establish a state-run, 24-week paid leave program funded by a new \$1 billion per year payroll tax. At a time when small employers are facing unprecedented challenges – record inflation, chronic worker shortages, supply chain disruptions, skyrocketing energy costs, lost sales – it is unfathomable that some politicians in St. Paul want to add another expensive mandate on Main Street.

This \$1 billion payroll tax hike and mandate are particularly insulting to small businesses given the unwillingness of the Minnesota House of Representatives to pass the bipartisan Unemployment Insurance (UI) Trust Fund Repayment bill. Small businesses who made it to the other side of the pandemic now face a decade of double- and triple-digit UI tax increases.

Small businesses are an important part of our communities and work hard to do right by their employees. But one size fits all mandates simply don't work on Main Street.

**HF 1200 Upends Small Employer-Employee Relationships.** This mandate supersedes all benefit arrangements that don't meet its extensive criteria. This will eliminate flexibility and negotiating power for both employee and employer in the future, including for those who would prefer other forms of compensation instead of more paid time off.

**HF 1200 Exacerbates Worker Shortage and Increases Labor Costs.** Small businesses will have to replace workers for up to 24 weeks per year. This is an expensive proposition on its own – let alone on top of a \$1 billion payroll tax hike – as backfilling work through overtime or temp workers means a significant cost premium. An inability to backfill the work, as may easily be the case, would lead to lower output and more lost sales.

**HF 1200 Will Cost More Than Projected.** Projected weekly leave payments and leave weeks taken used to estimate the cost are low compared to usage expectations and utilization data from other states, which points to a more expensive program than currently thought.

If the average user made Minnesota's median per capita income – just a small increase over the estimate – and all other assumptions held, the program cost would increase by \$40 million dollars. If the average user took, say, 12 weeks instead of the 6.6 projected in the 2020 fiscal note, and made median per capita income, the cost and payroll tax would increase by \$700 million.

Finally, even in the face of a pandemic, employees express high rates of satisfaction with existing benefits and pay. According to the [Employee Benefits Research Institute \(EBRI\), in 2020](#):<sup>1</sup>

- 77% of employees are offered paid vacation and 66% are offered paid sick time
- 61% of employees view their paid time off and leave benefits as excellent or very good
- More employees would rather have less paid leave and higher wages (25%) than would want more paid leave and lower wages (17%)

EBRI's findings are consistent with a [2016 NFIB report](#), which noted that 74% of small employers offer paid time off and 77% offer paid vacation.<sup>2</sup> Rather than disrupt almost every small business employment arrangement in the state, lawmakers should find ways to help small employers expand benefit options without mandates, higher taxes or red tape.

Please reject the new mandates, red tape, and penalties on small employers in HF 1200. Instead, work with small businesses to help employees and give Main Street relief by fully repaying the UI Trust Fund today.

All the best,



John L. Reynolds  
Minnesota State Director  
National Federal of Independent Business  
[john.reynolds@nfib.org](mailto:john.reynolds@nfib.org)  
(651) 293-1283

---

<sup>1</sup> Employee Benefits Research Institute, "2021 Workplace Wellness Survey," [https://www.ebri.org/docs/default-source/wbs/wws-2021/2021-workplace-wellness-short-report.pdf?sfvrsn=c4553b2f\\_6](https://www.ebri.org/docs/default-source/wbs/wws-2021/2021-workplace-wellness-short-report.pdf?sfvrsn=c4553b2f_6), accessed 3/14/2022.

<sup>2</sup> Wade, Chow, "NFIB National Small Business Poll," NFIB Research Center, Volume 13, Issue 4 (2016), [NFIB\\_SBP\\_Emp-Compensation2017\\_v2.pdf \(411sbfacts.com\)](#), accessed 3/14/2022