The House of Representatives convened at 2:00 p.m. and was called to order by Steve Sviggum, Speaker of the House.

Prayer was offered by the Reverend Nathan Allen, Archdiocese of St. Paul and staff member of the Minnesota House of Representatives, St. Paul, Minnesota.

The members of the House gave the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following members were present:

Abeler
Abrams
Anderson, B.
Anderson, I.
Bakk
Bernardy
Biernat
Bishop
Blaine
Bradley
Buesgens
Carlson
Cassell
Clark, J.
Clark, K.
Daggett
Davids
Davnie
Dawkins
Dehler
Dempsey
Dibbble
Dorman
Dorn
Eastlund
Entenza
Erhardt
Erickson
Evans
Finseth
Folliard
Fuller
Gleason
Goodwin
Gray
Greiling
Gunther
Haas
Hackbarth
Harder
Hausman
Hilstrom
Holberg
Holsten
Howes
Huntley
Jacobson
Jennings
Johnson, J.
Johnson, R.
Johnson, S.
Jordan
Juhnke
Jahno
Kahn
Kali
Kellher
Kielkucki
Knoblach
Koskinen
Krinke
Kubly
Leighton
Lenczewski
Leppik
Lieder
Lindner
Lipman
Mahoney
Mares
Mariani
Marko
Marquart
McElroy
McGuire
Milbert
Molna
Mulder
Mullery
Murphy
Ness
Nornes
Olson

Opatz
Osskopp
Osthoff
Ozment
Paulsen
Pawlenty
Paymar
Pelowski
Penas
Peterson
Tingelstad
Pugh
Tuma
Rhodes
Rifenber
Rukavina
Ruth
Schumacher
Seagren
Seifert
Sertich
Skoe
Skoglund
Spk. Sviggum

Swenson
Sykora
Swapinski
Stang
Stanek
Solberg
Smith
Ske

A quorum was present.

Boudreau, Goodno, Hilty, Jaros, Otremba and Wolf were excused.

Walker was excused until 2:25 p.m. Gerlach was excused until 2:30 p.m.

The Chief Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of the preceding day. Kalis moved that further reading of the Journal be suspended and that the Journal be approved as corrected by the Chief Clerk. The motion prevailed.
PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

The following communication was received:

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
ST. PAUL 55155

The Honorable Steve Sviggum
Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable Don Samuelson
President of the Senate

I have the honor to inform you that the following enrolled Acts of the 2002 Session of the State Legislature have been received from the Office of the Governor and are deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State for preservation, pursuant to the State Constitution, Article IV, Section 23:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.F. No.</th>
<th>H.F. No.</th>
<th>Session Laws Chapter No.</th>
<th>Time and Date Approved</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2960</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:29 p.m. April 29</td>
<td>April 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2674</td>
<td>351</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:25 p.m. April 29</td>
<td>April 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sincerely,

MARY KIFFMEYER
Secretary of State

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Ozment from the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Policy to which was referred:

H. F. No. 3719, A bill for an act relating to agriculture; clarifying the prohibition on certain application of pesticides; amending Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 18B.07, subdivision 2.

Reported the same back with the following amendments:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 18B.07, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. [PROHIBITED PESTICIDE USE. (a) A person may not use, store, handle, distribute, or dispose of a pesticide, rinsate, pesticide container, or pesticide application equipment in a manner:

(1) that is inconsistent with a label or labeling as defined by FIFRA;
(2) that endangers humans, damages agricultural products, food, livestock, fish, or wildlife; or

(3) that will cause unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.

(b) A person may not direct a pesticide onto property beyond the boundaries of the target site. A person may not apply a pesticide resulting in damage to adjacent property.

(c) A person may not directly apply a pesticide on a human by overspray or target site spray, except when:

(1) the pesticide is intended for use on a human;

(2) the pesticide is intended for mosquito control operations;

(3) the pesticide application is for control of gypsy moth, forest tent caterpillar, or other pest species, as determined by the commissioner, and the pesticide used is a biological agent; or

(4) the pesticide application is for a public health risk, as determined by the commissioner of health. The commissioner of health, in consultation with the commissioner of agriculture, must determine the application is warranted based on the commissioner's balancing of the public health risk with the risk the application poses to the health of the general population, with special attention to the health of children.

(d) For pesticide applications under paragraph (c), clauses (2), (3), and (4), the following conditions apply:

(1) no practicable and effective alternative method of control exists;

(2) the pesticide is among the least toxic available for control of the target pest; and

(3) notification of residents in the area to be treated is provided by direct notification and through publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the affected area.

(e) For purposes of this subdivision, "direct notification" may include mailings, public meetings, posted placards, neighborhood newsletters, or other means of contact designed to reach as many residents as possible.

(f) A person may not apply a pesticide in a manner so as to expose a worker in an immediately adjacent, open field.

Sec. 2. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

Section 1 is effective the day following final enactment."

With the recommendation that when so amended the bill pass and be re-referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

The report was adopted.
INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF HOUSE BILLS

The following House File was introduced:

Davids introduced:

H. F. No. 3720, A resolution memorializing the President and Congress to take action to establish a federal backstop for insurance against terrorism.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Jobs and Economic Development.

The following Conference Committee Report was received:

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT ON H. F. NO. 3359

A bill for an act relating to professions; modifying certain protocols for nurses; amending Minnesota Statutes 2000, sections 148.235, by adding subdivisions; 151.37, subdivision 2; Minnesota Statutes 2001 Supplement, section 148.284.

April 25, 2002

The Honorable Steve Sviggum
Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable Don Samuelson
President of the Senate

We, the undersigned conferees for H. F. No. 3359, report that we have agreed upon the items in dispute and recommend as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments and that H. F. No. 3359 be further amended as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 148.235, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 8. [PRESCRIPTION BY PROTOCOL.] A registered nurse may implement a protocol that does not reference a specific patient and results in a prescription of a legend drug that has been predetermined and delegated by a licensed practitioner as defined under section 151.01, subdivision 23, when caring for a patient whose condition falls within the protocol and when the protocol specifies the circumstances under which the drug is to be prescribed or administered.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 148.235, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 9. [VACCINE BY PROTOCOL.] A nurse may implement a protocol that does not reference a specific patient and results in the administration of a vaccine that has been predetermined and delegated by a licensed practitioner as defined in section 151.01, subdivision 23, when caring for a patient whose characteristics fall within the protocol and when the protocol specifies the contraindications for implementation, including patients or populations of patients for whom the vaccine must not be administered and the conditions under which the vaccine must not be administered."
Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2001 Supplement, section 148.284, is amended to read:

148.284 [CERTIFICATION OF ADVANCED PRACTICE REGISTERED NURSES.]

(a) No person shall practice advanced practice registered nursing or use any title, abbreviation, or other designation tending to imply that the person is an advanced practice registered nurse, clinical nurse specialist, nurse anesthetist, nurse-midwife, or nurse practitioner unless the person is certified for such advanced practice registered nursing by a national nurse certification organization.

(b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to an advanced practice registered nurse who is within six months after completion of an advanced practice registered nurse course of study and is awaiting certification, provided that the person has not previously failed the certification examination.

(c) An advanced practice registered nurse who has completed a formal course of study as an advanced practice registered nurse and has been certified by a national nurse certification organization prior to January 1, 1999, may continue to practice in the field of nursing in which the advanced practice registered nurse is practicing as of July 1, 1999, regardless of the type of certification held if the advanced practice registered nurse is not eligible for the proper certification.

(d) Prior to July 1, 2007, a clinical nurse specialist may petition the board for waiver from the certification requirement in paragraph (a) if the clinical nurse specialist is academically prepared as a clinical nurse specialist in a specialty area for which there is no certification within the clinical nurse specialist role and specialty or a related specialty. The board may determine that an available certification as a clinical nurse specialist in a related specialty must be obtained in lieu of the specific specialty or subspecialty. The petitioner must be academically prepared as a clinical nurse specialist in a specific field of clinical nurse specialist practice with a master’s degree in nursing that included clinical experience in the clinical specialty, and must have 1,000 hours of supervised clinical experience in the clinical specialty for which the individual was academically prepared with a minimum of 500 hours of supervised clinical practice after graduation. The board may grant a nonrenewable permit for no longer than 12 months for the supervised postgraduate clinical experience. The board may renew the waiver for three-year periods provided the clinical nurse specialist continues to be ineligible for certification as a clinical nurse specialist by an organization acceptable to the board.

[EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective July 1, 2002.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 151.37, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. [PRESCRIBING AND FILING.] (a) A licensed practitioner in the course of professional practice only, may prescribe, administer, and dispense a legend drug, and may cause the same to be administered by a nurse, a physician assistant, or medical student or resident under the practitioner’s direction and supervision, and may cause a person who is an appropriately certified, registered, or licensed health care professional to prescribe, dispense, and administer the same within the expressed legal scope of the person’s practice as defined in Minnesota Statutes. A licensed practitioner may prescribe a legend drug, without reference to a specific patient, by directing a registered nurse, pursuant to section 148.235, subdivisions 8 and 9, physician assistant, or medical student or resident to adhere to a particular practice guideline or protocol when treating patients whose condition falls within such guideline or protocol, and when such guideline or protocol specifies the circumstances under which the legend drug is to be prescribed and administered. An individual who verbally, electronically, or otherwise transmits a written, oral, or electronic order, as an agent of a prescriber, shall not be deemed to have prescribed the legend drug. This paragraph applies to a physician assistant only if the physician assistant meets the requirements of section 147A.18.

(b) A licensed practitioner that dispenses for profit a legend drug that is to be administered orally, is ordinarily dispensed by a pharmacist, and is not a vaccine, must file with the practitioner’s licensing board a statement indicating that the practitioner dispenses legend drugs for profit, the general circumstances under which the practitioner dispenses for profit, and the types of legend drugs generally dispensed. It is unlawful to dispense legend drugs for profit after July 31, 1990, unless the statement has been filed with the appropriate licensing board. For
purposes of this paragraph, "profit" means (1) any amount received by the practitioner in excess of the acquisition cost of a legend drug for legend drugs that are purchased in prepackaged form, or (2) any amount received by the practitioner in excess of the acquisition cost of a legend drug plus the cost of making the drug available if the legend drug requires compounding, packaging, or other treatment. The statement filed under this paragraph is public data under section 13.03. This paragraph does not apply to a licensed doctor of veterinary medicine or a registered pharmacist. Any person other than a licensed practitioner with the authority to prescribe, dispense, and administer a legend drug under paragraph (a) shall not dispense for profit. To dispense for profit does not include dispensing by a community health clinic when the profit from dispensing is used to meet operating expenses.

Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2001 Supplement, section 256B.437, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 9. [TRANSFER OF BEDS.] The board of commissioners of Saint Louis county may amend their planned closure rate adjustment application to allow up to 50 beds of a 159-licensed bed county-owned nursing facility that is in the process of closing to be transferred to a hospital-attached nursing facility in Aurora and up to 50 beds to a 235-bed nursing facility in Duluth, and may also assign all or a portion of the planned closure rate adjustment that would be received as a result of closure to the Aurora facility or the Duluth facility.

Sec. 6. [EPINEPHRINE ON EMERGENCY AMBULANCE CALLS.]

The emergency medical services regulatory board, in cooperation with the Minnesota Nurses Association, the Minnesota Medical Association, the American College of Emergency Physicians, and the Minnesota Ambulance Association, shall establish a plan under which epinephrine is available on emergency ambulance calls made in Minnesota on or after September 1, 2002. The emergency medical services regulatory board shall report to the legislature by January 15, 2003, on the success of the policy of making epinephrine fully available.

[EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective the day following final enactment.

Delete the title and insert:

"A bill for an act relating to health; modifying certain protocols for nurses; authorizing transfer of certain nursing facility beds; providing for the administration of epinephrine on emergency ambulance calls; amending Minnesota Statutes 2000, sections 148.235, by adding subdivisions; 151.37, subdivision 2; Minnesota Statutes 2001 Supplement, sections 148.284; 256B.437, by adding a subdivision."

We request adoption of this report and repassage of the bill.

House Conferees: JIM ABELER, GREGORY M. DAVIDS AND THOMAS HUNTLEY.

Senate Conferees: DEANNA L. WIENER, KENRIC J. SCHEEVEL AND YVONNE PRETTNER SOLON.

Abeler moved that the report of the Conference Committee on H. F. No. 3359 be adopted and that the bill be repassed as amended by the Conference Committee. The motion prevailed.

H. F. No. 3359, A bill for an act relating to professions; modifying certain protocols for nurses; amending Minnesota Statutes 2000, sections 148.235, by adding subdivisions; 151.37, subdivision 2; Minnesota Statutes 2001 Supplement, section 148.284.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended by Conference, and placed upon its repassage.
The question was taken on the repassage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 120 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler  Dibble  Holberg  Leighton  Osskopp  Slawik
Abrams  Dorn  Holsten  Lenczewski  Osthoff  Smith
Anderson, B.  Eastlund  Howes  Leppik  Ozment  Solberg
Anderson, I.  Entenza  Huntley  Lieder  Paulsen  Stang
Bakk  Erhardt  Jacobson  Lindner  Pawlenty  Swapinski
Bernardy  Erickson  Jennings  Lipman  Paymar  Swenson
Biermat  Evans  Johnson, J.  Mahoney  Pelowski  Sykora
Bishop  Finseth  Johnson, R.  Mares  Penas  Thompson
Blaine  Follniard  Johnson, S.  Marko  Peterson  Tingelstad
Bradley  Fuller  Jordan  Marquart  Pugh  Tuma
Buesgens  Gleason  Juhnke  McGuire  Rhodes  Vandeveer
Carlson  Goodwin  Kahn  Milbert  Rifenberg  Wagenius
Cassell  Gray  Kalis  Molnau  Rukavina  Walz
Clark, J.  Greiling  Kelliher  Mulder  Ruth  Wasiluk
Clark, K.  Gunther  Kielkucki  Mullery  Schumacher  Westerberg
Daggett  Haas  Knoblauch  Murphy  Seagren  Westrom
Davids  Hackbarth  Koskinen  Ness  Seifert  Wilkin
Davnie  Harder  Kubly  Nornes  Sertich  Winter
Dawkins  Hausman  Kiule  Olson  Skoe  Workman
Dempsey  Hilstrom  Larson  Opitz  Skoglund  Spk. Sviggum

The bill was repassed, as amended by Conference, and its title agreed to.

**CALENDAR FOR THE DAY**

H. F. No. 1025 was reported to the House.

Rhodes and Entenza moved to amend H. F. No. 1025, the second engrossment, as follows:

Page 2, after line 21, insert:

"Sec. 2. [PARKING; ACCESS.]

Notwithstanding Laws 2002, chapter 220, article 10, section 37, the commissioner of administration must enter into all contracts necessary to reconfigure access to the state office building parking ramp and lot D. The design work and construction must be completed by January 1, 2003.

Sec. 3. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

Section 2 is effective the day following final enactment."

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.
H. F. No. 1025, as amended, was read for the third time.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Bishop moved that the action whereby H. F. No. 1025, as amended, was given its third reading be now reconsidered. The motion prevailed.

Bishop moved to amend H. F. No. 1025, the second engrossment, as amended, as follows:

Page 2, line 7, delete the comma and insert "and"

Page 2, line 8, delete everything after "arrangement"

Page 2, line 9, delete everything before the period

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Bishop amendment and the roll was called. There were 26 yeas and 98 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler    Dempsey    Johnson, J.    Mullery    Seagren    Wilkin
Bishop    Gunther    Knoblauch    Murphy    Solberg
Blaine     Haas       Leppik       Ozment     Sykora
Daggett    Hackbarth  Milbert      Paulsen    Tinglestad
Davnie     Jacobson   Mulder       Penas       Westrom

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abrams    Dorn        Holsten      Lieder      Paymar      Swapinski
Anderson, B. Eastlund    Howes       Lindner     Pelowski    Swenson
Anderson, I. Entenza     Huntley     Lipman      Peterson    Thompson
Bakk      Erhardt     Johnson, R.    Mahoney    Pugh        Tuma
Bernardy  Erickson    Johnson, S.    Mares       Rhodes      Vanderveer
Bierhart  Evans       Jordan      Mariani     Rifenberg   Wagenius
Bradley   Finseth     Juhnke      Marko       Rukavina    Walker
Buesgens  Folliard    Kahn        Marquart    Ruth        Walz
Carlson   Fuller      Kelliher     McElroy     Schumacher  Wasiluk
Cassell   Gerlach     Kielkucki    McGuire     Seifert      Westerberg
Clark, J.  Gleason     Koskinen     Molnau      Sertich     Winter
Clark, K.  Goodwin    Krinke       Ness        Skoe        Workman
Davids    Greiling    Kubly        Nornes      Skoglund     Spk. Sviggum
Dawkins   Harder      Kuise        Olson       Slawik
Dehler    Hausman     Larson       Opatz       Smith
Dibble    Hilstrom    Leighton     Oskopp      Stanek
Dorman    Holberg     Lenczewski   Pawlenty    Stang

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.
Lenczewski, Marquart, Opatz and Gray moved to amend H. F. No. 1025, the second engrossment, as amended, as follows:

Page 2, after line 21, insert:

"(e) The requirements of this section also apply to members of the legislature."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

POINT OF ORDER

Abrams raised a point of order pursuant to section 124 of "Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure," relating to Personalities Not Permitted in Debate. The Speaker ruled the point of order well taken.

Dawkins and Mahoney were excused for the remainder of today's session.

Lipman moved to amend the Lenczewski et al amendment to H. F. No. 1025, the second engrossment, as amended, as follows:

Page 1, line 5, before the period, insert "having contracts with a lobbyist or principal"

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Seifert moved that H. F. No. 1025, as amended, be re-referred to the Committee on Governmental Operations and Veterans Affairs Policy.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Mariani was excused for the remainder of today's session.

POINT OF ORDER

Biernat raised a point of order pursuant to section 387, paragraph 1, of "Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure," relating to Debate and the Application of Subsidiary Motions to the Motion to Refer. The Speaker ruled the point of order well taken.

The question recurred on the Seifert motion and the roll was called. There were 33 yeas and 92 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Biernat  Buesgens  Gerlach  Hackbart  Juhnke  Leppik
Bishop  Dempsey  Gunther  Harder  Kielkucki  Lipman
Blaine  Erhardt  Haas  Johnson, J.  Krinkie  Mares
Those who voted in the negative were:


Dorman  Dorn  Eastlund  Evans  Folliard  Fuller  Gleason  Goodwin  Gray  Greiling  Hausman  Hilstrom  Holberg  Holsten  Holsten


Leighton  Lenczewski  Lieder  Lindner  Marko  Marquart  McGuire  Milbert  Nornes  Olson  Oppen  Osthoff  Ozment  Paulsen


The motion did not prevail.

The question recurred on the Lipman amendment to the Lenczewski et al amendment to H. F. No. 1025, the second engrossment, as amended, and the roll was called. There were 16 yeas and 107 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, B.  Bradley  Clark, J.  Gerlach  Harder  Johnson, J.  Jordan  Krinkie  Lipman  Olson  Osskopp  Paulsen  Paymar  Pughmar  Spk. Sviggum

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler  Abrams  Anderson, B.  Anderson, I.  Bakk  Bernardy  Bradley  Carlson  Cassell  Clark, K.  Clark, J.  Daggett  Davids  Davnie  Dehler  Dibble

Dorman  Dorn  Eastlund  Evans  Folliard  Fuller  Gleason  Goodwin  Gray  Greiling  Hausman  Hilstrom  Holberg  Holsten  Holsten


Leighton  Lenczewski  Lieder  Lindner  Marko  Marquart  McGuire  Milbert  Nornes  Olson  Oppen  Osthoff  Ozment  Paulsen

The motion did not prevail and the amendment to the amendment was not adopted.

**POINT OF ORDER**

Krinkie raised a point of order pursuant to rule 3.21 that the Lenczewski et al amendment was not in order. The Speaker ruled the point of order well taken and the Lenczewski et al amendment out of order.

Lenczewski appealed the decision of the Speaker.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

**LAY ON THE TABLE**

Davids moved to lay the Lenczewski appeal of the decision of the Speaker on the table.

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Davids motion and the roll was called. There were 70 yeas and 53 nays as follows:

**Those who voted in the affirmative were:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abrams</th>
<th>Davison</th>
<th>Holberg</th>
<th>Krinkie</th>
<th>Ozment</th>
<th>Stang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, B.</td>
<td>Dorn</td>
<td>Holsten</td>
<td>Kuisle</td>
<td>Paulsen</td>
<td>Sykora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop</td>
<td>Eastlund</td>
<td>Howes</td>
<td>Lindner</td>
<td>Pawlenty</td>
<td>Tinglestad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaine</td>
<td>Erhardt</td>
<td>Jacobson</td>
<td>Lipman</td>
<td>Paymar</td>
<td>Tuma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Erickson</td>
<td>Johnson, J.</td>
<td>Mares</td>
<td>Penas</td>
<td>Vanderveer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buesgens</td>
<td>Finseth</td>
<td>Johnson, R.</td>
<td>McElroy</td>
<td>Rifenberg</td>
<td>Westerberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassell</td>
<td>Fuller</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Molnau</td>
<td>Ruth</td>
<td>Westrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, J.</td>
<td>Gerlach</td>
<td>Juhnke</td>
<td>Mulder</td>
<td>Seagren</td>
<td>Wilkin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daggett</td>
<td>Gunther</td>
<td>Kahn</td>
<td>Mullery</td>
<td>Seifert</td>
<td>Workman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davids</td>
<td>Haas</td>
<td>Kalis</td>
<td>Nornes</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>Spk. Sviggum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehler</td>
<td>Hackbarth</td>
<td>Kielkucki</td>
<td>Osskopp</td>
<td>Solberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dempsey</td>
<td>Harder</td>
<td>Knoblach</td>
<td>Osthoff</td>
<td>Stanek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Those who voted in the negative were:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abeler</th>
<th>Biernat</th>
<th>Dibble</th>
<th>Gleason</th>
<th>Hausman</th>
<th>Johnson, S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, I.</td>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Entenza</td>
<td>Goodwin</td>
<td>Hilstrom</td>
<td>Kelliher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakk</td>
<td>Clark, K.</td>
<td>Evans</td>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>Huntley</td>
<td>Koskinen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernardy</td>
<td>Davnie</td>
<td>Folliard</td>
<td>Greiling</td>
<td>Jennings</td>
<td>Kubly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The motion prevailed and the appeal of the decision of the Speaker was laid on the table.

H. F. No. 1025, A bill for an act relating to state government; requiring members of the state board of investment to disclose certain arrangements; imposing certain duties on the commissioner of administration regarding parking lots; amending Minnesota Statutes 2000, section 11A.075.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 94 yeas and 30 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler
Anderson, B.
Anderson, I.
Bakk
Bernardy
Blaine
Bradley
Buesgens
Carlson
Cassell
Clark, J.
Clark, K.
Cogdell
Davids
Davnie
Dehler

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abrams
Biernat
Bishop
Dorman
Eastlund

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

Pawlenty moved that the remaining bills on the Calendar for the Day be continued. The motion prevailed.
ADJOURNMENT

Pawlenty moved that when the House adjourns today it adjourn until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 2, 2002. The motion prevailed.

Pawlenty moved that the House adjourn. The motion prevailed, and the Speaker declared the House stands adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 2, 2002.

EDWARD A. BURDICK, Chief Clerk, House of Representatives