

Financing Education In Minnesota 2005-06

A Publication of
the Minnesota
House of
Representatives
Fiscal Analysis
Department

September 2005



Financing Education in Minnesota

2005-06

A Publication of the Minnesota House of Representatives Fiscal Analysis Department

For additional information, contact:

Greg Crowe
Fiscal Analyst, K-12 Education Finance
Department of Fiscal Analysis
MN House of Representatives
378 State Office Building
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

(651) 296-7165
greg.crowe@house.mn

Additional copies of this publication are available upon request,
or on the Internet at the Fiscal Analysis Department Home page:
<http://www.house.mn/fiscal/fahome.htm>

Table of Contents

Introduction..... 1

Education Finance Terms 2

General Education Program..... 9

 Basic Revenue..... 10

 Extended Time Revenue 11

 Gifted and Talented Revenue..... 12

 Basic Skills Revenue..... 13

 Secondary Sparsity Revenue..... 16

 Elementary Sparsity Revenue 17

 Operating Capital Revenue 18

 Transportation Sparsity Revenue 19

 Equity Revenue 20

 Training and Experience Revenue 22

 Transition Revenue 23

 Alternative Teacher Compensation Revenue..... 25

 Reserved Revenue and Reductions 26

 Referendum Revenue..... 27

K-12 Categorical Programs..... 30

 Special Education Regular..... 30

 Special Education Excess Cost 31

 Special Education Home Based Travel..... 32

 Special Education Special Pupil 32

 Capital Expenditure Related Programs 33

 Health and Safety 33

 Alternative Facilities 33

 Debt Service Revenue..... 34

 Charter School Revenue 36

 Charter School Building Lease Aid 36

 Charter School Startup Grants 36

 Abatement Revenue 37

 Access to Minnesota’s Transition Program for Children with Disabilities 37

 Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate Programs..... 37

 Consolidation Transition Revenue..... 37

 First Grade Preparedness Grants..... 37

 Integration Revenue 37

 Library Basic Support Grants 37

 Magnet School Start-up Grants..... 38

 Multi-County, Multi-Type Library System Grants..... 38

 Nonpublic Pupil Aid 38

Nonpublic Pupil Transportation	38
Regional Library Telecommunications Aid	38
Safe Schools Levy	38
School Breakfast Aid.....	38
School Lunch Aid.....	38
Family and Early Childhood Categorical Programs.....	39
Adult Basic Education.....	39
Adults with Disabilities	41
Community Education.....	42
Early Childhood and Family Education	44
School Readiness.....	45
School Aged Care / Disabled	46
GED Test Fee	47
Head Start	47
Health and Development Screening Aid	47
Hearing Impaired Adults	47
Property Tax Relief Aids – Property Tax Calculation	48
Property Tax Calculation - Residential Property	49
Property Tax Calculation - Agricultural Property	50
Effect of Tax Relief Aids on School District Revenue	51
Finances.....	52
Education Finance Appropriations	52
School District Property Tax Levies	53
Property Tax Relief Aid Payments to School Districts.....	54
Education Revenue Sources	55

Introduction



“The stability of a republican form of government depending mainly upon the intelligence of the people, it is the duty of the legislature to establish a general and uniform system of public schools. The legislature shall make such provisions by taxation or otherwise as will secure a thorough and efficient system of public schools throughout the state.”

- Minnesota Constitution, Article XIII, Section 1

The financing of elementary and secondary education in Minnesota is through a combination of state-collected taxes (primarily income and sales) and locally collected property taxes. Revenue to school districts is received in three major categories, all of which are described in greater detail in this booklet. In summary, the three categories are:

1. State Education Finance Appropriations
 - A. General Education Aid - The largest share of the education finance appropriation, general education aid, is intended to provide the basic financial support for the education program.
 - B. Categorical Aids - Categorical revenue formulas are generally used to meet costs that vary significantly between districts (i.e., special education) or promote certain types of programs (i.e., career and technical aid, adult basic education aid).

2. State Paid Property Tax Credits

Property tax credits reduce the amount of property taxes paid. To make up for this reduction, the state pays the difference between what was levied in property taxes and what is actually received in property taxes to school districts and other taxing districts.

3. Property Tax Levies

Property tax levies are usually determined as part of a formula that includes state aid. The largest share of the levy is from voter-approved levies: the excess operating referendum and debt service levies.

Minnesota Education Finance Terms

General Education Program - The general education program is the method by which school districts receive the majority of their financial support.

1. Basic General Education Formula Revenue

The basic general education formula establishes the minimum level of funding for school districts. General education aid is determined by subtracting the amount raised by the general education levy from the formula allowance multiplied by pupil units. Both the basic formula allowance and the general education levy are set each year in legislation.

<u>School Year</u>	<u>Formula Allowance</u>	<u>Tax Rate</u>
1995-96	3,205 (a)	34.2%
1996-97	3,505 (b)	40.8%
1997-98	3,581	37.4%
1998-99	3,530 (c)	36.9%
1999-2000	3,740 (d)	36.58%
2000-01	3,964 (e)	35.78%
2001-02	4,068	32.41%
2002-03	4,601 (f)	0.0%
2003-04	4,601	0.0%
2004-05	4,601	0.0%
2005-06	4,783	0.0%
2006-07	4,974	0.0%

- (a) The formula for 1995-96 was originally \$55 lower. The amount added to the formula in that year was all state aid and was not used to determine the equalizing factor.
- (b) The formula and tax rate for 1996-97 reflect the roll-in of a major portion of transportation funding and training experience funding into the general education formula. The formula increase of \$300 reflects that roll-in. The tax rate reflects the roll-in and also includes the property tax portion of the operating capital funding.
- (c) The formula for 1998-99 reflects the roll-out of training and experience funding from the general education formula. The decrease of \$51 is the net result of the \$130 reduction for the roll-out of training and experience and a \$79 increase in the formula.
- (d) The formula allowance increase in 1999-2000 of \$210 is the net result of the roll-in of \$43 in graduation standards revenue into the formula and a \$167 increase in the formula.
- (e) The formula allowance increase in 2000-01 of \$224 is the net result of the roll-in of \$67 in district cooperation revenue, an increase of \$39 to the formula for staff development and a \$118 increase in the formula.
- (f) The formula allowance increase in 2002-03 of \$533 is the net result of the roll-in of \$14 in assurance of mastery revenue, the conversion of \$415 of referendum revenue onto the basic formula and a \$104 increase in the formula.

Until 2002-03, the general education formula was an “equalized” formula - the state paying in aid the difference between what was raised by the local levy and the formula allowance. Beginning in 2002-03, the general education levy was eliminated (thus the 0.0% in the Tax Rate column of the table on page 2 for 2002-03 and later).

The basic revenue formula allowance for the 2005-06 school year is \$4,783 per marginal pupil unit. Of this amount, the revenue resulting from .057 times K, .115 times 1st-3rd and .06 times 4th-6th grade students in average daily membership must be reserved with the goal of reducing kindergarten and elementary classes to one teacher per 17 pupils.

2. Extended Time Revenue

Extended time revenue replaced the former learning year pupil program, where a district that had students enrolled for more than a standard school year equivalent could generate additional revenue for those students. Under prior law, learning year pupils could generate up to a total of 1.5 ADM for a school district. Extended time revenue allows students to generate up to an additional 0.2 (for a total of 1.2 maximum) ADM, which is then used to calculate the district’s AMCPU, which is multiplied by the extended time formula amount(\$4,601 for 2005-06) to calculate extended time revenue. The revenue can be used for extended day, week or year programs. For 2005-06, 110 districts qualify for a total of \$48 million in extended time revenue.

3. Gifted and Talented Revenue

Starting in 2005-06, districts qualify for \$4 per pupil (increasing to \$9 per pupil in 2006-07) for gifted and talented revenue. Gifted and talented revenue must be used to identify gifted and talented students, to provide programming for those students and to provide staff development for teachers of those students. For 2005-06, all districts qualify for a total of \$4 million in gifted and talented revenue.

4. Basic Skills Revenue

Basic skills revenue includes compensatory, limited English proficiency (LEP) and LEP concentration revenues. While these revenues are combined into a single category, the funding available for basic skills revenue is based on separate formulas for the individual components. The components are:

- **Compensatory revenue.** School sites where pupils eligible for free and reduced priced lunches attend receive compensatory revenue based on the number of eligible pupils at the site. Compensatory revenue per pupil increases as the percent of free and reduced price pupils at a particular school site increases (however, the percent is capped).
- **Limited English Proficiency.** Districts receive LEP revenue based on the number of students with limited proficiency in English for their first five years of enrollment in Minnesota public schools. In addition, a per pupil amount is provided to districts with concentrations of LEP students. The per pupil funding increases as the concentration increases (though the concentration percentage is capped).

All school districts will receive some portion of \$332 million in basic skills revenue in 2005-06. (The \$332 million includes \$293 million in compensatory revenue and \$39 million in the LEP revenues.)

5. Sparsity Revenue

Sparsity revenue provides additional revenue for small and isolated schools. This revenue acknowledges the higher cost of necessarily small education programs. Options to increase the number of students would require students to travel an unacceptable amount of time. There are two parts to the sparsity formula, one for secondary schools and one for elementary schools. The secondary school sparsity formula takes into account a secondary school's enrollment, distance from the secondary school to the nearest secondary school and the geographic area of the secondary school attendance area. The elementary sparsity formula provides additional funding for elementary schools that average 20 or fewer pupils per grade and that are 19 miles or more from the nearest elementary school. Districts that are relatively small in enrollment and large in geographic area tend to have the largest sparsity allowances. 82 districts receive a total of \$18 million in sparsity revenue in 2005-06.

6. Transportation Sparsity Revenue

Transportation sparsity revenue provides districts with additional funding based on the number of pupil units per square mile in the school district. During 2005-06, approximately \$57 million of transportation sparsity revenue is divided among all school districts, with revenue amounts per district ranging up to \$735 per pupil unit.

7. Operating Capital Revenue

Operating capital revenue replaced the capital expenditure facilities and capital expenditure equipment formulas. The operating capital formula has a component representing the former equipment and technology formulas (\$73 per pupil unit), and a component representing the former facilities formula (\$100 times the district's maintenance cost index). Operating capital revenue is an equalized formula. The equalizing factor is \$22,222 of adjusted net tax capacity per pupil unit. Operating capital revenue ranges from \$173 to \$223 per pupil unit per district in 2005-06 and totals \$193 million statewide.

8. Training and Experience Revenue

Training and experience revenue is based on the experience and education of a school district's faculty. Beginning in the 1998-99 school year, only teachers hired prior to 1996-97 are counted for the purposes of computing a school district's training and experience revenue. 157 school districts qualify for training and experience revenue amounts ranging up to \$126 per pupil unit, for a total of \$9 million statewide during 2005-06.

9. Equity Revenue

Equity revenue is intended to reduce the per pupil disparity between the highest and lowest revenue districts on a regional basis. For the purposes of equity revenue, there are two regions in the state: the seven-county metropolitan area and the balance of the state. In each region, districts are ranked according to their basic and referendum revenue. There are two components to the equity formula,

with a third to be added for 2006-07. The first is the regular formula based on the districts ranking in their region, and the second is a supplemental formula for districts with referendum amounts below 10 percent of the state average referendum amount. (The third component to be added for 2006-07 is a fixed amount for all districts, with districts above the 95th percentile of referendum revenue receiving half what those below the 95th percentile receive.) Districts below the 95th percentile of revenue in referendum and basic revenue are eligible for the regular and low referendum equity revenue, except districts in cities of the first class which are automatically excluded. Equity revenue is an equalized formula, equalized at the same rate as the first tier of referendum revenue, or \$476,000 of referendum market value per pupil.

For the regular equity program, a district without an excess levy referendum is eligible for \$13 per pupil unit. A district with an excess levy referendum is eligible for \$13 per pupil unit, plus an additional amount based on their percentile ranking. To determine how much regular equity revenue a district receives, the district's equity index is calculated by dividing the difference between a district's basic and referendum revenue by the regional 95th percentile of basic and referendum revenue. The result is multiplied by \$75. The product of that calculation is added to the basic \$13 to generate the district's equity revenue.

Districts with referendum amounts below 10 percent of the state average referendum amount are also eligible for the supplemental low referendum equity portion of equity revenue. Qualifying districts receive an amount per pupil equal to the difference between their referendum amount and 10 percent of the state average referendum amount, with a \$100,000 limit.

For districts in the seven county metropolitan area, the revenue amount resulting from both types of equity revenue (regular and supplemental low-referendum equity) is multiplied by 1.25.

Statewide, 321 districts qualify for equity revenue (both the regular and low referendum formulas), sharing a total of \$50 million.

10. Alternative Compensation Revenue

Alternative compensation revenue was created to encourage districts to adopt alternative pay structures for teachers. A district that adopts such a pay structure is eligible to receive \$260 per unweighted pupil in revenue. Total alternative compensation revenue is limited to \$19.3 million for 2005-06.

11. Transition Revenue

Transition revenue guarantees a district that its 2003-04 general education revenue, excluding referendum, per 2002-03 defined pupil unit (using Adjusted Marginal Cost Pupil Units (AMCPU) as defined on page 6) was at least as high as its 2002-03 per old AMCPU general education revenue, less referendum, or what it would have gotten in 2003-04 had the general education revenue formulas not been changed by the 2003 legislature. For 2005-06 and later, transition revenue is set as a fixed amount per pupil equal to the 2003-04 transition amount, and is undesignated revenue which may be used for any general fund purpose. Transition revenue is a mix of aid and levy, levied against referendum market value using \$476,000 as the equalizing factor. During 2005-06, approximately \$30.6 million of transition revenue is divided among 169 school districts.

12. Referendum Revenue

Referendum revenue allows districts to increase the revenue available in their general fund with the approval of the voters in the district. A referendum to increase general fund revenue may be held only on the first Tuesday following the first Monday in November (Election Day) except that elections may be held at a different time if the election is held by mail ballot. In addition, if the district is in statutory operating debt and receives the commissioner's approval, the district may hold an additional election on a different day. A referendum election may be held in the calendar year before it is levied or one year earlier.

Referendum revenue is capped at an amount equal to the greater of 1) the greater of (a) 18.6 percent of the basic general education formula allowance (\$905.17 in the 2005-06 school year) or (b) \$905.17 multiplied by inflation since 2003-04; or 2) the district's 1994 referendum allowance amount times 1.177 times the inflationary increase since 2003-04 plus the district's 2002 supplemental and transition revenues minus \$415. District referendum revenue, except in districts eligible for sparsity revenue, may not exceed this cap. For 2006-07, the cap amount will increase to 26 percent of the basic formula amount, or by \$200 per pupil for school districts that are subject to the 2004 referendum amount grandfathered cap.

The referendum revenue formula is an equalized formula; that is the state pays in aid the difference between what is raised by a local levy and a guaranteed revenue amount. The first \$500 per pupil of a school district's referendum levy is equalized at \$476,000 per pupil of referendum market value. (In 2006-07, the first \$600 will be equalized, and 2007-08 the first \$700 will be equalized.) Any amount above \$500 and below the cap (\$905.17 in 2005-06) is equalized at \$270,000 per pupil of referendum market value. Districts qualifying for sparsity revenue have any amount of referendum revenue above \$500 per pupil equalized at \$270,000, regardless of the cap amount.

Equalization is used to make property tax burdens for districts with similar per pupil referendum revenues, but varying tax bases the same. The relationship of a district's referendum market value per pupil unit to the equalizing factor (\$476,000 in the case of the first \$500 of referendum revenue) indicates how much referendum revenue the district will receive from property taxes. If a district's property valuation per pupil unit were \$238,000 for example (50 percent of \$476,000), the district would receive 50 percent of its revenue from its referendum levy and 50 percent from state equalization aid. If a district's referendum market value per pupil unit is greater than \$476,000, that district will receive all of its referendum revenue from the local levy. The closer a district's referendum market value per pupil is to \$0, the higher the percentage of state aid the district receives for referendum levies below \$500 per pupil. The same district with \$238,000 per pupil in market value would levy 88.1 percent ($\$238,000 / \$270,000 = .881$) of the revenue for a referendum amount between \$500 and \$905.17 per pupil.

For the 2005-06 school year, 301 districts have referendums totaling \$531 million. Of that amount, most of those districts receive referendum equalization aid totaling \$120 million.

In 2002-03, basic revenue was increased by \$415 per pupil unit, and referendum, supplemental and transition revenue were decreased by \$415 per pupil. The reduction was first applied to a district's supplemental and transition revenue, with any supplemental and transition in excess of \$415 added to a district's referendum revenue. If the district's supplemental and transition revenues were below \$415, the balance was removed from the district's referendum revenue. Prior to that, referendum revenue was also reduced by the general education formula increase between 1993-94 and 1994-95

(\$100). Also, revenue in excess of \$315 per pupil unit was reduced by 25 percent of increases in training and experience revenue and compensatory revenue between 1993-94 and 1995-96. (These reductions applied first to supplemental revenue, amounts remaining after the supplemental reduction applied to referenda.) However, in a district with a low fund balance, no supplemental revenue, low adjusted net capacity and a high referendum amount, the reduction may have been less than the increase in the formula allowance.

Referendum levies must be certified on referendum market value rather than adjusted net tax capacity (ANTC). (ANTC provides tax advantages for residential and agricultural property compared to commercial and industrial property; referendum market value treats most residential and commercial property the same.) Referendum market value excludes agricultural land and seasonal recreational cabin properties.

Equalizing Factor - The dollar amount used to calculate the state and local shares in formulas that are equalized. Most equalizing factors are fixed, such as that for health and safety revenue, which is set at \$2,935. A fixed equalizing factor is a guarantee by the State that a certain tax rate will generate a certain amount of revenue for a school district, regardless of the district's property value. In the case of health and safety revenue, the State guarantees that a 1% tax rate will generate \$29.35 (.01 x \$2,935) in revenue for the district, whether it is raised via the local property tax, or provided by the State. The percent of revenue in a given formula which will be raised through local levies is equal to the district's property value (in ANTC or market value for referendum) divided by the equalizing factor. In the case of health and safety revenue, for example, a district with \$1,468 in ANTC per pupil unit will raise 50% of its revenue locally ($\$1,468 / \$2,935 = .5$), with the balance being provided as state aid.

Pupil Weighting - A weighted count of pupils used to determine revenue in many formulas:

One Kindergarten Pupil	=	.557 pupil units
One Elementary Pupil (grade 1-3)	=	1.115 pupil units
One Elementary Pupil (grade 4-6)	=	1.06 pupil units
One Secondary Pupil (grade 7-12)	=	1.3 pupil units

A Preschool Pupil with Disabilities is counted as 1.25 pupil units for the ratio of hours of service to 825 with a minimum of .28 ADM and a maximum of 1.25 pupil unit.

Weighted Average Daily Membership (WADM) is the total of the above weighted pupil unit categories for a school district.

Adjusted Marginal Cost Pupil Units (AMCPU) is the greater of the total of weighted average daily membership served by the school district multiplied times .77 plus the total of the weighted average daily membership served by the school district for the prior school year multiplied times .23, or the actual current weighted average daily membership served by the district.

Pupil units in Average Daily Membership (ADM) is the total headcount of students in a school district. Each student may not count for more than 1.0 ADM.

In the examples presented in this booklet, "pupil units" means adjusted marginal cost pupil units, unless otherwise noted.

Categorical Revenues - Additional resources for specific school programs. Examples of categorical revenues include:

1. Special Education Revenue
2. School Lunch Aid
3. Debt Service Equalization Aid

Market Value - The value assigned to property by an assessor. Referendum market value (RMV) allows for certain types of property that have classification rates below one to have a lower market value than the value assigned by the assessor, and excludes cabins and agricultural land.

Property Tax Classification Rates - Percentages applied to the market value of property to arrive at the adjusted net tax capacity. For example, residential homestead property under \$500,000 has a class rate of 1 percent; the amount over \$500,000 has a class rate of 1.25 percent.

Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC) - The property value used for calculating most school taxes. ANTC is determined by equalizing differences in tax capacities by property type in different counties. This equalization process compares market values to actual sales and is intended to neutralize the effect of differing assessment practices. Also, the ANTC reflects the application of the classification rates to the market value of property.

Tax Capacity Rate - The rate of taxation for a specific program. Tax capacity rates are expressed as a percent of the adjusted net tax capacity. Many tax capacity rates are set in law.

UFARS (Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards) - A statewide accounting procedure that must be used by school districts to record financial transactions and report financial information to the State Department of Education.

School Funds - A set of financial accounts to manage school operations.

A. *Operating Funds*

- i. General Fund – general operations of the school district including salaries and benefits, instructional materials, supplies and custodial operations, transportation, ongoing capital expenditures and equipment
- ii. Food Service Fund – school lunch and breakfast programs
- iii. Community Service Fund – community service, early childhood family education, adult and recreation programs

B. *Non-Operating Funds*

- i. Building Construction Fund – bond proceeds used to pay for building construction
- ii. Debt Service Fund – used to pay principal and interest on building project bonds
- iii. Trust Fund
- iv. Agency Fund

Districts Off The Formula - In districts with high property values per pupil unit, the levy rate for particular programs may generate revenue that is equal to or greater than the total revenue the district is entitled to for the program. These districts are referred to as being “off the formula” for that program.

General Education Program Revenue

General education revenue is a combination of several revenue categories that provide the major share of funding for school districts. Most of the general education revenue is for the general operation of the school district and is not designated by the state for a specific purpose. General education revenue is part aid and part levy, with the equity, transition, operating capital and referendum portions of the general education program being equalized.

The basic general education formula for 2005-06 is \$4,783 per pupil unit. Basic general education revenue plus several additional components (basic skills, extended time, secondary sparsity, elementary sparsity, operating capital, transportation sparsity, equity revenue, training and experience, transition and referendum) make up total general education revenue.

Example - General Education Program Revenue Gopherville School District (\$ per pupil unit)

Number of Pupil Units *	=	1,000
Basic Revenue	=	\$4,783
Extended Time Revenue	=	\$20
Gifted & Talented Revenue	=	\$4
Basic Skills Revenue	=	\$30
Secondary Sparsity Revenue	=	\$10
Elementary Sparsity Revenue	=	\$0
Operating Capital Revenue	=	\$194
Transportation Sparsity Revenue	=	\$62
Equity Revenue	=	\$27
Training & Experience Revenue	=	\$63
Alternative Compensation Revenue	=	\$225
Transition Revenue	=	\$16
Referendum Revenue	=	\$125

General Education Revenue = (Basic Revenue + Extended Time Revenue + Gifted & Talented Revenue + Basic Skills Revenue + Secondary Sparsity Revenue + Elementary Sparsity Revenue + Operating Capital Revenue + Transportation Sparsity Revenue + Equity Revenue + Training & Experience Revenue + Alternative Compensation Revenue + Transition Revenue + Referendum Revenue) x Pupil Units

$$= (\$4,783 + \$20 + \$4 + \$30 + \$10 + \$0 + \$194 + \$62 + \$27 + \$63 + \$225 + \$16 + \$125) \times 1,000$$

$$= \$5,299 \times 1,000$$

$$= \$5,299,000$$

* As noted earlier, all references to “pupil units” are references to adjusted marginal cost pupil units

Extended Time Revenue

Extended time revenue replaces the former learning year pupil program, which allowed districts that had students enrolled for more than a standard school year equivalent to generate additional revenue for those students. Extended time revenue allows students to count as up to an additional 0.2 (for a total of 1.2 maximum) ADM, which is then used to generate an AMCPU based on the student's grade level. The AMCPU total for extended time ADM is then multiplied by the extended time formula amount to calculate total extended time revenue. The extended time formula amount for 2005-06 is \$4,601. Extended time revenue can be used for extended day, week or year programs. [126C.05, 15; 126C.10, 2a]

Example – Extended Time Revenue

Gopherville School District

ADM between 1.0 and 1.2	=	7
AMCPU for ADM between 1.0 and 1.2	=	10
Formula Allowance for Extended Time	=	\$4,601

Extended Time Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{AMCPU for ADM between 1.0 and 1.2} \times \text{Extended Time Formula Allowance} \\ &= 10 \times \$4,601 \\ &= \$46,010 \end{aligned}$$

Gifted and Talented Revenue

Gifted and talented revenue is a new revenue for 2005-06 and later. For 2005-06, districts qualify for \$4 per pupil. For 2006-07 and later, districts qualify for \$9 per pupil. A district's gifted and talented revenue must be used to identify gifted and talented students, to provide programs for those students, and to train teachers for working with gifted and talented students. [126C.10, 2b]

Example – Gifted and Talented Revenue

Gopherville School District

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{AMCPU} & = & 1,000 \\ \text{Gifted \& Talented Revenue Formula Amount} & = & \$4 \end{array}$$

Gifted and Talented Revenue

$$\begin{array}{lcl} = & \text{AMCPU} \times \text{Gifted \& Talented Formula Allowance} & \\ = & 1,000 \times \$4 & \\ = & \$4,000 & \end{array}$$

Basic Skills Revenue

Basic skills revenue includes the former compensatory, limited English proficiency (LEP) and LEP concentration revenues. While these revenues are combined into a single category, the total revenue is based on existing formulas for the individual components. [126C.10, 4; 126C.15; 124D.65]

Compensatory revenue. Districts receive additional funding, called compensatory revenue, for students eligible to receive free and reduced price lunches, based on the count on October 1 of the previous year. Compensatory revenue must be allocated to the school site in which the pupil who generated the revenue receives instruction, and must be used to meet the educational needs of pupils whose educational progress related to state or local content or performance standards is below the level that is appropriate for pupils at that age level. Each school's site decision-making team, or instruction and curriculum advisory committee if there is no site decision-making team, must make recommendations on how the revenue is to be spent. Districts that receive compensatory revenue must maintain separate accounts for the revenue and report on its expenditure.

Compensatory revenue is calculated by multiplying compensation pupil units times the general education formula allowance minus \$415. Compensation pupil units equal $0.6 \times$ [the sum of the number of students receiving free lunch and $0.5 \times$ students receiving reduced price lunches] \times the lesser of (a) 1, or (b) the quotient of the following calculation divided by 80: number of free lunch pupils plus half the number of reduced price lunch pupils divided by the total number of pupils times 100.

Limited English Proficiency revenue. School districts with limited English proficient (LEP) students receive aid to recognize the additional cost of educating these students. For funding purposes, an LEP student is defined as one whose primary language is not English, whose English language skills do not allow full classroom participation, whose prior year score on an emerging academic English test is below the cutoff score, and who is enrolled in an LEP educational program but has not been enrolled in Minnesota public schools for five or more years.

2005-06 LEP regular revenue is equal to \$700 times the greater of 20 or the number of eligible LEP pupil units. Districts also receive LEP concentration revenue, which provides additional revenue when a district has a higher concentration of LEP pupils. LEP concentration revenue is computed by taking the lesser of 1, or the result of dividing the concentration percentage (which is 100 times the ratio of current year LEP pupils to total average daily membership) by 11.5 and multiplying that number by the number of current year LEP students and the concentration revenue formula amount (\$250 for 2005-06).

Example – **Compensatory** Component of Basic Skills

Gopherville School District, Central School

Number of pupils (October 1 st enrollment)	=	800
Number of pupils receiving free lunches	=	100
Number of pupils receiving reduced price lunches	=	200
General Education Formula Allowance	=	\$4,783

Compensation
pupil units = $(100 + (200/2)) \times .6 \times \text{the lesser of (a) 1 or (b):}$ $\frac{(100+(200/2))}{100 \times \frac{800}{80}}$

= $120 \times \text{the lesser of (a) 1 or (b) } \frac{25.0}{80}$

= $120 \times \text{the lesser of 1 or .313}$

= $120 \times .313 = 37.6$

Maximum Compensatory

Revenue	=	Compensatory pupil units	x	General Ed Formula Allowance - \$415
	=	37.6	x	\$4,783 - \$415
	=	37.6	x	\$4,368
	=	\$164,237		

Gopherville School District, Country School

Number of pupils (October 1 st enrollment)	=	200
Number of pupils receiving free lunches	=	10
Number of pupils receiving reduced price lunches	=	20
General Education Formula Allowance for Compensatory	=	\$4,783

Compensation
pupil units = $(10 + (20/2)) \times .6 \times \text{the lesser of (a) 1 or (b):}$ $\frac{(10+(20/2))}{100 \times \frac{200}{80}}$

= $12 \times \text{the lesser of (a) 1 or (b) } \frac{10.0}{80}$

= $12 \times \text{the lesser of 1 or .125}$

= $12 \times .125 = 1.5$

Maximum Compensatory

Revenue	=	Compensatory pupil units	x	General Ed Formula Allowance - \$415
	=	1.5	x	\$4,783 - \$415
	=	1.5	x	\$4,368
	=	\$6,552		

Example – LEP Component of Basic Skills

Gopherville School District

Number of Pupils	=	1,000
Number of Eligible LEP Students	=	68
Concentration Revenue Formula Amount	=	\$250

2005-06 LEP Revenue = LEP Regular Revenue + LEP Concentration Revenue

LEP Regular Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \$700 \times \text{the greater of a) 20 or b) Eligible LEP Pupil Units} \\ &= \$700 \times \text{the greater of a) 20 or b) 68} \\ &= \$700 \times 68 \\ &= \$47,600 \end{aligned}$$

LEP Concentration Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \underline{2005-06} \text{ LEP Students} \times \text{Concentration Formula} \times \text{Concentration Pupil Units} \\ &= 68 \times \$250 \times \text{the lesser of (a) 1 or (b):} \quad \frac{68}{100 \times \frac{1000}{11.5}} \\ &= 68 \times \$250 \times \text{the lesser of 1 or .59} \\ &= 68 \times \$250 \times .59 \\ &= \$10,030 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{2005-06 LEP Total Revenue} &= \text{LEP Regular Revenue} + \text{LEP Concentration Revenue} \\ &= \$47,600 + \$10,030 \\ &= \$57,630 \end{aligned}$$

Example – Total Basic Skills Revenue

Gopherville School District

Compensatory Revenue (Central School Site)	\$164,237
Compensatory Revenue (Country School Site)	\$6,552
LEP Revenue	\$57,630

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Basic Skills Revenue} &= \text{Compensatory Revenue (Central + Country)} + \text{LEP Revenue} \\ &= (\$164,237 + 6,552) + \$57,630 \\ &= \$228,419 \end{aligned}$$

Secondary Sparsity Revenue

Districts with one or more sparsely populated high school attendance areas may be eligible for additional revenue to meet the higher cost of operating a secondary program with a small number of students. To be eligible, a high school must have an isolation index greater than 23 and less than 400 pupils in average daily membership. If a district has more than one high school, the district's sparsity revenue is the sum of the calculation for each high school. Districts with certain reforested lands have an additional factor in the formula that increases sparsity revenue. [126C.10, 6,7]

Example – Secondary Sparsity Revenue Gopherville School District

Pupil Units (WADM)	=	530
Secondary Average Daily Membership (ADM)	=	250
General Education Formula Allowance for Sparsity	=	\$4,783
High School Attendance Area	=	356 square miles
Distance from High School to Nearest High School	=	22 miles

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Isolation Index (ii)} &= \sqrt{.55 \times \text{Attendance_Area}} + \text{miles to nearest high school} \\
 &= \sqrt{.55 \times 356} + 22 \\
 &= \sqrt{196} + 22 \\
 &= 14 + 22 \\
 &= 36
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Secondary Sparsity Revenue} &= \frac{(400 - \text{Sec ADM})}{\text{Formula Allowance} \times \text{Sec. ADM} \times (400 + \text{Sec ADM})} \times \text{the lesser of:} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } 1.5 \\ \text{b) } \frac{\text{ii} - 23}{10} \end{array} \\
 &= \frac{(400 - 250)}{\$4,783 \times 250 \times (400 + 250)} \times \text{the lesser of} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } 1.5 \\ \text{b) } \frac{36 - 23}{10} \end{array} \\
 &= \frac{150}{\$4,783 \times 250 \times 650} \times \text{the lesser of a) } 1.5 \text{ or b) } \frac{13}{10} \\
 &= \$4,783 \times 250 \times .23 \times \text{the lesser of a) } 1.5 \text{ or b) } 1.3 \\
 &= \$4,783 \times 250 \times .23 \times 1.3 \\
 &= \$4,783 \times 250 \times .299 \\
 &= \$4,783 \times 74.75 \\
 &= \$357,529
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Secondary Sparsity Revenue per pupil unit} = \$357,529 / 530 = \$674.58$$

Elementary Sparsity Revenue

Districts with a sparsely populated elementary school attendance area may be eligible for additional revenue to operate the elementary school. To be eligible, an elementary school must have an average of 20 or fewer pupils per grade level and be located 19 miles or more from the nearest elementary school. [126C.10, 8]

Example – Elementary Sparsity Revenue

Gopherville School District ABC Elementary School

Grades K-6 Pupil (ADM)	=	100
General Education Formula Allowance for Sparsity	=	\$4,783
Distance to Nearest Elementary School	=	23 miles

Elementary Sparsity Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Elementary WADM} \times \text{Formula Allowance} \times \frac{(140 - \text{Elem ADM})}{(140 + \text{Elem ADM})} \\ &= 100 \times \$4,783 \times \frac{(140 - 100)}{(140 + 100)} \\ &= 100 \times \$4,783 \times \frac{40}{240} \\ &= 100 \times \$4,783 \times .1667 \\ &= 100 \times \$797.33 \\ &= \$79,733 \end{aligned}$$

(The 140 used in the formula assumes 20 pupils in each of grades K-6. If this elementary school had fewer than seven grades, the formula would be adjusted for the actual number of grades).

Operating Capital Revenue

Operating capital revenue is available for repair and betterment of facilities, acquisition of land, purchase or lease of equipment, and purchase of books. Operating capital revenue is placed in the operating capital account in the general fund. Operating capital revenue is based on the two former components of a capital expenditure funding formula--facilities revenue and equipment revenue. The facilities component of the formula generates revenue of \$100 per pupil unit plus a weighting for the average age of the district's buildings. The old formula was \$128 per pupil unit. The equipment revenue component is \$73 per pupil unit. In addition, a district with a learning year program receives an additional \$30 per pupil unit at the site a program is in place. Operating capital revenue is equalized formula, with an equalizing factor of \$22,222 of ANTC per pupil. For 2006-07, the equalizing factor will change to \$10,700. [126C.10, 13]

Example – Operating Capital Revenue

Gopherville School District

Number of Pupil Units	= 1,000
ANTC per Pupil Unit	= \$2,000
Operating Capital (facilities component)	= \$100 per pupil unit
Operating capital (equipment component)	= \$73 per pupil unit
Average Age of District Buildings	= 25 years
Maintenance Cost Index	= 1.25 (1 + ratio of average age to 100)


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Operating Capital Revenue per Pupil} &= \text{equipment component} + (\text{facilities component} \times \text{maintenance cost index}) \\ &= \$73 + (\$100 \times 1.25) \\ &= \$73 + \$125 \\ &= \$198 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Revenue} &= \text{pupil units} \times \text{operating capital per pupil revenue} \\ &= 1,000 \times \$198 \\ &= \$198,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Levy} &= \text{Revenue} \times \frac{\text{ANTC per pupil}}{\$22,222} \\ &= \$198,000 \times .09 \\ &= \$17,820 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aid} &= \text{Revenue} - \text{Levy} \\ &= \$198,000 - \$17,820 \\ &= \$180,180 \end{aligned}$$

Transportation Sparsity Revenue



Beginning in 1996-97, a major portion of the funding of transporting students is rolled into the basic general education formula. To recognize the additional costs of transporting students in those districts



with fewer students per square mile, the transportation sparsity formula provides additional funding based on the number of students per square mile. The actual formula uses logarithms to calculate a revenue amount. The final part of the formula subtracts 4.85% of the basic formula amount, which in 1997 was the \$170 by which the general education formula was increased due to the “roll-in” of transportation revenue, adjusted for the changes in the basic formula since 1996-97. [124C.10, 18]

For this formula, sparsity index means the greater of .2 or the number of square miles in the district divided by the number of resident weighted average daily membership (WADM). Density index means the number of square miles divided by the number of WADM; however, the density index may not be greater than .2 or less than .005.

Example – Transportation Sparsity Revenue

Gopherville School District

Number of Pupil Units	=	1,000
Number of Square Miles	=	90
General Education Formula Allowance	=	\$4,783
District Sparsity Index	=	.20
District Density Index	=	.09

Transportation Sparsity Revenue Per Pupil Unit = [(formula allowance x .1469) x (the logarithm of the district’s sparsity index and .26) x (the logarithm of the district’s density index and .13)] - (formula allowance x .0485)

$$= [(\$4,783 \times .1469) \times (\text{the log of } .2 \text{ and } .26) \times (\text{the log of } .09 \text{ and } .13)] - (\$4,783 \times .0485)$$

$$= [\$703 \times .658063 \times .731226] - \$232$$

$$= \$338 - \$232$$

$$= \$106$$

Total Transportation Sparsity Revenue	=	Revenue per Pupil Unit x Pupil Units
	=	\$106 x 1,000
	=	\$106,000

Equity Revenue

Equity revenue is intended to reduce the disparity in revenue per pupil unit between the highest and lowest revenue districts on a regional basis, with the regions defined as the seven-county metropolitan area and the balance of the state. In each region, districts are ranked according to their total basic and referendum revenue. Districts below the 95th percentile of revenue in those two components combined are eligible for equity revenue, except school districts located in cities of the first class (Duluth, Minneapolis and St. Paul), which are automatically excluded.

All eligible districts receive \$13 per pupil unit, but districts with operating referendum receive additional revenue based on their percentile ranking compared to the rest of the region the district is in. To determine how much extra revenue a district receives, the district's equity index is calculated by dividing the difference between the district's revenue in the two categories by the 95th percentile of revenue in those two categories. The result is multiplied by \$75.

In addition, for 2005-06 and later, a supplemental category of equity revenue was added, providing additional aid for districts with referendum amounts per pupil that are below ten percent of the state average referendum amount (for 2005-06, the state average referendum amount is \$560 per pupil). Those districts qualify for an amount equal to difference between their referendum per pupil and 10 percent of the state average referendum amount, with the total low referendum supplemental equity amount not to exceed \$100,000.

For districts in the seven county metropolitan area, the revenue amount resulting from both types of equity revenue (regular and supplemental low-referendum equity) is multiplied by 1.25. Equity revenue is equalized at the same rate as the first tier of referendum revenue, using \$476,000 of referendum market value (RMV) per pupil as the equalizing factor. [126C.10, 24-28]

Example – Equity Revenue

Gopherville School District

Number of pupil units	=	1,000
Basic and Referendum Revenue	=	\$4,833
Gopherville Referendum Revenue per pupil	=	\$50
7-County Metro area	=	no
Rural 95 th percentile *	=	\$5,889.50
Rural 5 th percentile *	=	\$4,783.00
Metro 95 th percentile *	=	\$6,093.94
Metro 5 th percentile *	=	\$4,820.87
State Average Referendum Revenue per pupil	=	\$560
Gopherville Referendum Market Value (RMV) per pupil	=	\$150,000

Regular Equity Revenue

Regional Equity Gap (Rural)	= 95 th - 5 th percentiles \$5,889.50 - \$4,783.00	= \$1,106.50
District Equity Gap	= 95 th percentile - District Revenue \$5,889.50 - \$4,833.00	= \$1056.50

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Equity Index} &= \frac{[\text{District Equity Gap} / \text{Regional Equity Gap}]}{\$1056.50 / \$1,106.50} = .955 \\
 \text{Regular Equity Rev.} &= \text{Pupil units} \times [\$13 + (\$75 \times \text{Equity Index})] \\
 &= 1,000 \times [\$13 + (\$75 \times .955)] \\
 &= 1,000 \times [\$13 + \$71.63] \\
 &= 1,000 \times \$84.63 \\
 &= \$84,630
 \end{aligned}$$

Supplemental Low Referendum Equity Revenue

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Low Referendum Equity Revenue} &= \text{Pupils} \times [(\text{State Avg. Referendum} \times 10\%) - \text{District Ref.}] \\
 &= 1,000 \times [(\$560 \times 0.10) - \$50] \\
 &= 1,000 \times (\$56 - \$50) \\
 &= 1,000 \times \$6 \\
 &= \$6,000
 \end{aligned}$$

Total Equity Aid and Levy

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Equity Revenue} &= \text{Regular Equity Revenue} + \text{Low Referendum Equity Revenue} \\
 &= \$84,630 \quad \quad \quad + \quad \$6,000 \\
 &= \$90,630
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Equity Levy} &= \text{Equity Revenue} \times \frac{\text{RMV per pupil unit}}{\$476,000} \\
 &= \$90,630 \times \frac{\$150,000}{\$476,000} \\
 &= \$90,630 \times .315 \\
 &= \$28,548
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Equity Aid} &= \text{Equity Revenue} - \text{Equity Levy} \\
 &= \$90,630 - \$28,548 \\
 &= \$62,082
 \end{aligned}$$

* 5th and 95th percentile figures are preliminary estimates, and are subject to change.

Transition Revenue

Transition revenue was a revenue guarantee for 2003-04 revenue, and is fixed at the 2004-05 amount. For 2003-04, transition revenue ensured that a district's 2003-04 revenue was equal to at least its 2002-03 revenue or its 2003-04 revenue under the laws prior to their amendment by the 2003 Legislature, whichever was less. Transition revenue was calculated by taking the difference between a district's 2003-04 general education revenue, excluding referendum, per 2002-03 defined AMCPU and the lesser of: (a) what the district would have gotten in 2003-04 had the general education revenue formulas not been changed by the 2003 legislature or (b) the district's 2002-03 general education revenue per old AMCPU, less referendum. Transition revenue is a fixed amount that is undesignated and may be used for any general fund purpose. Transition revenue is a mix of aid and levy, levied against referendum market value (RMV), using \$476,000 as the equalizing factor. In addition, school districts that had pre-kindergarten programs prior to July 1, 2003 receive 4 percent of the amount they received for those programs prior to that date as part of transition revenue. [126C.10, 31]

Example – Transition Revenue

Gopherville School District

2004-05 Pupil Units	=	960
2003-04 Pupil Units	=	980
2003-04 Pupil Units, Old Law *	=	1,000
2002-03 General Ed. Revenue per pupil	=	\$6,000
2003-04 Old law General Ed. Revenue per Old law pupil	=	\$6,025
2003-04 General Ed. Revenue per Old law pupil, excluding transition	=	\$5,900
Referendum Market Value (RMV) per pupil	=	\$200,000

*Old Law means as defined in MN Statutes 2002, prior to changes made by the 2003 Legislature.

Transition Revenue Allowance = the greater of (a) \$0 or (b) the result of the following calculation:

2003-04 Pupil Units, Old Law x the result of the following calculation:

2003-04 Pupil Units

((the lesser of 2002-03 General Ed. Revenue per pupil or:
2003-04 Old law General Ed. Revenue per Old law pupil)
- 2003-04 General Ed. Rev. per Old law pupil, excluding transition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} &= 1000 / 980 \times ((\text{the lesser of } \$6,000 \text{ or } \$6,025) - \$5,900) \\ &= 1.02 \times (\$6,000 - \$5,900) \\ &= 1.02 \times \$100 \\ &= \$102 \end{aligned}$$

Transition Revenue Allowance = the greater of \$0 or \$102 = \$102

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Transition Revenue} &= 2004-05 \text{ Pupil Units} \times \text{Transition Revenue Allowance} \\
&= 960 \times \$102 \\
&= \$97,920 \\
\text{Transition Levy} &= \text{Transition Revenue} \times \frac{\text{RMV per pupil}}{\$476,000} \\
&= \$97,920 \times \frac{\$200,000}{\$476,000} \\
&= \$97,920 \times .42 \\
&= \$41,143 \\
\text{Transition Aid} &= \text{Transition Revenue} - \text{Transition Levy} \\
&= \$97,920 - \$41,126 \\
&= \$56,794
\end{aligned}$$

Alternative Teacher Compensation Revenue

Alternative teacher compensation revenue of \$260 per prior year unweighted pupil is available, starting in 2005-06, to school districts, intermediate school districts and charter schools that develop and implement an alternative teacher pay system by October 1st of that school year. In order to qualify for the revenue, the district must, one full school year prior to the year of implementation, notify the Commissioner of Education of the district's intent to implement an alternative pay system. Individual school sites may also qualify for alternative teacher compensation revenue.

Starting in 2006-07, the \$260 per pupil of revenue will be a mix of aid and levy, \$190 per pupil of aid and \$70 per pupil of equalized levy revenue. The \$70 per pupil of equalized levy revenue will be equalized using an equalizing factor of \$5,913 of adjusted net tax capacity per pupil.

Total alternative compensation aid is limited to \$19.3 million for 2005-06 and \$75.6 million for 2006-07, and is available, in general, to districts on a first come, first served basis. These funding limits will allow for funding for approximately 9 percent of all students in 2005-06 and approximately 48 percent of students in 2006-07. [126C.10, 34-36]

Example – Alternative Teacher Compensation Revenue

Gopherville School District

Prior Year October 1 st Enrollment	=	1,000
Qualifies for revenue?	=	Yes
Alternative Teacher Compensation Revenue formula amount per pupil	=	\$260

Alternative Teacher Compensation Revenue

= Alternative Compensation Formula x Prior Year October 1st Enrollment

= \$260 x 1,000

= \$26,000

General Education Revenue - Reserved Revenue and Reductions

Learning and Development Revenue

Of a district's basic general education revenue, an amount equal to .057 times kindergarten students in average daily membership times the basic formula amount plus .115 times first grade through third grade students in average daily membership times the basic formula amount plus .06 times fourth grade through sixth grade students in average daily membership times the basic formula amount must be reserved for class size reduction. The reserved revenue must be used to reduce and maintain the average class size to 1 to 17 beginning with kindergarten through third grade. [126C.12]

Revenue for Staff Development

An amount equal to two percent of the per pupil basic formula amount (\$95.66) must be spent for staff development. Each year, if a district's licensed teachers and school board agree via a vote, this reserve may be waived. In addition, a district in statutory operating debt is exempt from this reserve requirement. [122A.61]

Contract Settlement Deadline Penalty

State aid is reduced by \$25 per pupil unit in the first year of a biennium (for example, during the 2005-2006 school year in the 2005-2007 biennium) if a district and the exclusive representative of the teachers in that district have not signed a collective bargaining agreement by January 15 of the year following the expiration the teachers' contract (teacher contracts expire June 30 of each odd numbered year). The penalty does not apply if the unresolved issues have been submitted to binding arbitration by December 31. For districts that reorganized the previous year, the deadline date is March 15 instead of January 15. [123B.05, 4]

Referendum Revenue

Referendum revenue allows districts to increase the revenue available in the district's general fund with the approval of the voters in the district. Referendum revenue up to \$500 per resident pupil unit is equalized at \$476,000 of market value, and revenue above \$500 up to the cap (except districts which qualify for sparsity revenue, where the entire amount above \$500 qualifies for equalization) is equalized at \$270,000. Referendums are calculated based on the resident pupil count, and the aid portion of the revenue is transferred from the resident district to the school district in which the pupil receives services. [126C.17]

Referendum revenue is capped at an amount equal to the greater of 1) the greater of (a) 18.6 percent of the basic general education formula allowance (\$889.64 in the 2005-06 school year) or (b) \$855.79 multiplied by inflation since 2003-04 (\$905.77 in the 2005-06 school year); or 2) the district's 1994 referendum allowance amount times 1.177 times the inflationary increase since 2003-04 plus the district's 2002 supplemental and transition revenues minus \$415. District referendum revenue, except in districts eligible for sparsity revenue, may not exceed this cap.

Example – Referendum Revenue

This example assumes voter approval of a referendum and a school board decision to levy the full authorized amount.

Gopherville School District

Resident Marginal Cost Pupil Units	=	1,000
Referendum Market Value	=	\$200,000,000
Referendum Market Value per pupil	=	\$200,000
Referendum Revenue per pupil unit	=	\$650
First Tier equalization factor	=	\$476,000
Second Tier equalization factor	=	\$270,000

To calculate a district's total referendum levy, and the amount that will be paid to the district from the State in the form of referendum equalization aid, first calculate referendum revenue in each tier (remembering that if the district's referendum revenue per pupil is less than \$500, the \$500 in the first tier calculation would be replaced with the actual approved amount, and the second tier calculation would be unnecessary):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First Tier Referendum Revenue} &= \$500 \times \text{Pupil Units} \\ &= \$500 \times 1,000 \\ &= \$500,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Second Tier Referendum Revenue} &= (\text{Referendum per pupil unit} - \$500) \times \text{Pupil Units} \\ &= (\$650 - \$500) \times 1,000 \\ &= \$150 \times 1,000 \\ &= \$150,000 \end{aligned}$$

Next, calculate the levy portion of referendum revenue by calculating the amount of levy for each tier of referendum revenue:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{First Tier Levy} &= \text{First Tier Revenue} \times \frac{\text{Referendum Market Value per pupil}}{\text{First Tier Equalizing Factor}} \\
 &= \$500,000 \times \frac{\$200,000}{\$476,000} \\
 &= \$500,000 \times .42 \\
 &= \$210,000
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Second Tier Levy} &= \text{Second Tier Revenue} \times \frac{\text{Referendum Market Value per pupil}}{\text{Second Tier Equalizing Factor}} \\
 &= \$150,000 \times \frac{\$200,000}{\$270,000} \\
 &= \$150,000 \times .74 \\
 &= \$111,000
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Total Levy} &= \text{First Tier Levy} + \text{Second Tier Levy} \\
 &= \$210,000 + \$111,000 \\
 &= \$321,000
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, calculate the aid portion of referendum revenue by subtracting the total levy from the total referendum revenue generated:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Aid} &= \text{Referendum Revenue} - \text{Referendum Levy} \\
 &= \$650,000 - \$321,400 \\
 &= \$329,000
 \end{aligned}$$

This aid amount may be reduced by the amount of referendum tax base replacement aid that the district receives. (See p. 46 for a discussion of referendum tax base replacement aid.)

Referendum Equalization Examples

As shown in the table below, districts with different tax bases in referendum market value per pupil unit can have a significantly different mix of referendum levy and referendum equalization aid. The table shows how, using the formulas described in the previous pages, the referendum aid and levy with \$500 per pupil unit of referendum in a district with high, medium and low market values per pupil unit.

Calculating the tax rate for the total levy in each district shows how equalization works. The tax rate is calculated by dividing total levy by total tax base (which is referendum market value per pupil unit multiplied by referendum pupil units). In each of these cases, the tax rate is 0.1 percent, so although the low value district has the same effective tax rate as the high value district, and less property value per pupil than the high value district, the low value district receives the same amount of money per pupil as the high value district, due to the referendum equalization aid provided by the state.

	School District Referendum Market Value		
	<u>Low</u>	<u>Medium</u>	<u>High</u>
Market Value per pupil unit	\$75,000	\$185,000	\$450,000
Referendum Amount per pupil unit	\$650	\$650	\$650
Referendum pupil units	750	2,000	10,000
Total Revenue	\$487,500	\$1,300,000	\$6,500,000
First Tier Revenue	\$375,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000
Second Tier Revenue	\$112,500	\$300,000	\$1,500,000
First Tier Levy	\$59,086	\$388,655	\$4,726,891
Second Tier Levy	\$31,250	\$205,556	\$1,500,000
First Tier Aid	\$315,914	\$611,345	\$273,109
Second Tier Aid	\$81,250	\$94,444	\$0
Total Levy	\$90,336	\$594,211	\$6,226,891
Total Aid	\$397,164	\$705,789	\$273,109
Percent Levy	18.5%	45.7%	95.8%
Percent Aid	81.5%	54.3%	4.2%

Special Education

Districts receive funding to recognize a portion of the additional costs of providing required services to students with a disability. Regular special education revenue provides districts with 68% of the salaries of special education teachers, related services and support services staff providing direct services to students in a base year adjusted for total enrollment change in the school district, and adjusted so that combined district revenues do not exceed the state total special education revenue, \$529.2 million in 2005-06, the amount set in statute. Special education revenue for an individual district for 2005-06 is calculated by multiplying special education base revenue (the base year for 2005-06 is 2003-04) by the ratio of the current year's enrollment to the base year enrollment in the district and by the statewide adjustment factor. For 2005-06, all operating districts receive some special education aid, but the amount can vary greatly between districts, ranging from \$156 per pupil to \$1,040 per pupil. [125A.76]

Base revenue includes:

- a) 68% of the salaries of teachers, persons providing related services to students and support service staff providing direct services to students;
- b) 47% of supplies, materials and equipment up to \$47 per student;
- c) 52% of the difference between the general education basic allowance and the cost to a resident district for special education services provided by contract with agencies other than school districts;
- d) Funding for summer programs in categories (a), (b), and (c) listed above.

Example – Special Education Revenue

Gopherville School District

District Pupils in Average Daily Membership in Base Year (2003-04)	=	961
District Pupils in Average Daily Membership in Current Year	=	1,000
District Special Education Base Revenue	=	\$150,000
Statewide Adjusted Base Revenue	=	\$633,048,000
Statewide Current Year Special Education Revenue	=	\$529,164,000

Statewide Adjustment Factor = Statewide Current Year Revenue / Statewide Adjusted Base Revenue

$$= \$529,164,000 / \$633,048,000$$

$$= 0.836$$

2005-06 Special Education Revenue

$$= 2003-04 \text{ Revenue} \times \frac{2005-06 \text{ ADM}}{2003-04 \text{ ADM}} \times \text{Statewide Adjustment Factor}$$

$$= \$150,000 \times \frac{1,000}{961} \times 0.836$$

$$= \$130,473$$

Additional special education aid categories include:

Excess Cost Aid

If a district's special education cost per pupil unit that is not reimbursed by the special education formula is greater than 4.36% of their general education revenue (which for this purpose includes general education revenue plus two thirds of referendum revenue per pupil unit minus operating capital and transportation sparsity revenue), the district receives excess cost aid equal to the greater of: a) 75% of the amount of the unreimbursed cost minus 4.36% of the district's general revenue, b) 70% of the difference between the increase in unreimbursed costs between the base and current year and 1.6% of general education revenue or c) zero. However, excess cost aid is capped at \$103.6 million for 2005-06. If the statewide district entitlement for excess cost aid is greater than the cap amount, the amount each district would receive is adjusted in proportion to the ratio of the entitlement to the cap. The amount which the district is entitled to is based on the uncapped formula (or the statewide total entitlement) and is called initial excess cost aid. For 2005-06, 320 districts receive some excess cost aid, with the highest amount being \$363 per pupil. [125A.79, 2]

Example – Excess Cost Aid – Gopherville School District

State Total Excess Cost Aid	=	\$103,600,000
State Total Initial Excess Cost Aid (est.)	=	\$160,806,000
General education revenue	=	\$5,000,000
Unreimbursed special education costs	=	\$375,000
Base year unreimbursed special education costs	=	\$325,000
Qualify for aid? (Unreimbursed costs are greater than 4.36% of general revenue?)	=	Yes

Initial excess cost aid is the greater of:

- | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------|
| 75% x (unreimbursed costs | - | .0436 x general revenue) | |
| .75 x (\$375,000 | - | .0436 x \$5,000,000) | |
| .75 x (\$375,000 | - | \$218,000) | = \$117,750 |
- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 70% x [(current year - base year) - 1.6% of general revenue] | |
| .70 x [(\$375,000 - \$325,000) - .016 x \$5,000,000] | |
| .70 x [\$50,000 - \$80,000] | |
| .70 x -\$30,000 | = -\$21,000 |
- \$0

Initial Excess cost aid for Gopherville = \$117,750

Excess cost aid adjustment factor

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{State Total Excess Cost Aid} / \text{State Total Initial Excess Cost Aid} \\
 &= \$103,600,000 / \$160,806,000 \\
 &= 0.644
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Excess cost aid} &= \text{Initial Excess Cost Aid} \times \text{Adjustment Factor} \\
 &= \$117,750 \times 0.644 \\
 &= \$75,831
 \end{aligned}$$

Home Based Travel Aid

Aid is provided to reimburse 50% of the travel costs of personnel providing home-based travel services to children under age five with a disability. [125A.75, 1]

Special Pupil Aid

Districts are reimbursed for the special education costs not covered by other special education funding or the general education formula for students with a disability residing in public or private residential facilities in the district and for whom there is no school district of residence because parental rights have been terminated or the parents cannot be located. [125A.75, 3]

Capital Expenditure Related Programs

Health and Safety

Capital expenditure health and safety revenue is available for hazardous substance removal, fire and life safety code repairs and health, safety, environmental and air quality management. Individual projects that otherwise qualify for health and safety but which cost more than \$500,000 must be funded using alternative facilities revenue. Health and safety revenue is equalized with an equalizing factor of \$2,935. [123B.57]

Example – Health and Safety Revenue

Gopherville School District

Pupil Units	=	1,000
Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)	=	\$2,000,000
Equalizing Factor for Health & Safety Revenue	=	\$2,935
Approved Health & Safety Revenue	=	\$75,000

Revenue = Amount approved by the commissioner in accordance with district plan
= \$75,000

Levy = Revenue x Lesser of: (a) 1, or (b) $\frac{\text{District ANTC}}{\text{per P.U.}}$
\$2,935

= Revenue x Lesser of: (a) 1, or (b) $\frac{\$2,000}{\$2,935}$

= \$75,000 x .6814

= \$51,107

Aid = Revenue - Levy
= \$75,000 - \$51,107
= \$23,893

Alternative Facilities

A school district qualifies for the alternative facilities program if it has an average of at least 66 pupils per grade, more than 1.85 million square feet of space that averages more than 15 years old, or more than 1.5 million square feet of space that averages more than 35 years old, and insufficient health and safety and capital facilities revenue to meet its deferred maintenance needs, make accessibility improvements or fire, safety or health repairs, and if it has a ten-year facility plan approved by the Commissioner of Education. Districts also qualify for alternative facilities for individual health and safety projects that exceed \$500,000 in project cost. Qualifying districts may sell bonds and make a levy to repay the bonds, or may annually levy for the costs in the ten-year plan without voter approval. The cost of this program is offset in part by state aid for districts that participated in the program before 1999-2000. Levies under this program are also eligible for the first tier of debt service equalization. [123B.59]

Debt Service Revenue

School districts may issue general obligation bonds to finance capital improvements. Generally, the issuance of the bonds must be approved by a majority of the voters in a referendum. The district must then levy each year an amount necessary to meet its debt obligation. The amount of debt service revenue needed each year is equalized at varying rates in relation to the ratio of the amount of debt service revenue to the district's total adjusted net tax capacity. Debt service levies are equalized at an equalizing factor of \$3,200 for the amount of debt service that totals between 15% and 25% of the district's adjusted net tax capacity, and \$8,000 for the amount of debt service that exceeds 25% of the district's adjusted net tax capacity. [123B.53]

Example – Debt Service Revenue

Gopherville School District

Number of Pupil Units	=	1,000
Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)	=	\$2,000,000
Debt Service Revenue for 2005-06	=	\$630,000
First Tier equalization factor	=	\$3,200
Second Tier equalization factor	=	\$8,000

To calculate a district's total debt service levy, and the amount that will be paid to the district from the State in the form of debt service equalization aid, first calculate the revenue amounts in the first and second tier that are eligible for equalization:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First Tier Debt Service Revenue} &= \text{Debt Revenue} - 15\% \text{ of ANTC} - \text{Second Tier Debt Revenue} \\ &= \$630,000 - .15 \times \$2,000,000 - \$130,000 \\ &= \$200,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Second Tier Debt Service Revenue} &= \text{Debt Service Revenue} - 25\% \text{ of District ANTC} \\ &= \$630,000 - .25 \times \$2,000,000 \\ &= \$130,000 \end{aligned}$$

Next, calculate, for each Tier and for the initial Unequalized portion, how much of the revenue will be raised in local levy:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Unequalized Debt Service Levy} &= 15\% \times \text{ANTC} \\ &= .15 \times \$2,000,000 \\ &= \$300,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First Tier Debt Service Levy} &= \text{First Tier Debt Service Revenue} \times \frac{\text{District ANTC/P.U.}}{\text{First Tier Equalizing Factor}} \\ &= \$200,000 \times \frac{\$2,000}{\$3,200} \\ &= \$200,000 \times .625 \\ &= \$125,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Second Tier Debt Service Levy} &= \text{Second Tier Debt Service Revenue} \times \frac{\text{District ANTC/P.U.}}{\text{Second Tier Equalizing Factor}} \\
&= \$130,000 \quad \times \quad \frac{\$2,000}{\$8,000} \\
&= \$130,000 \quad \times \quad .25 \\
&= \$32,500
\end{aligned}$$

Next, calculate the total levy, by adding the levy component of the two equalized tiers of the revenue to the initial unequalized levy amount:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Total Debt Service Levy} &= \\
&\text{Unequalized Debt Service Levy} + \text{First Tier Debt Service Levy} + \text{Second Tier Debt Service Levy} \\
&= \$300,000 + \$125,000 + \$32,500 \\
&= \$457,500
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, calculate the amount of aid by subtracting the levy total from the total revenue need for that year:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Debt Service Aid} &= \text{Debt Service Revenue} - \text{Total Debt Service Levy} \\
&= \$630,000 - \$457,500 \\
&= \$172,500
\end{aligned}$$

Charter School Revenue

Charter schools in Minnesota are public schools, and are defined as being part of the State's system of public education. They are not school sites of the school district within which they are located, although they may have been sponsored by the school district within which they are located. Although they are public schools, charter schools are exempt in law from many, but not all of the requirements governing public schools and school districts. In regard to revenue, charter schools are eligible for general education revenue, special education aid, building lease aid, start-up grants, and other revenue school districts receive. [124D.11]

Charter school revenue sources include:

1. General Education Revenue - Charter schools receive general education revenue per pupil just as school districts do, with a few exceptions. First, a charter school receives \$223 per pupil less if the charter school does not provide transportation services. (If transportation services are not provided by the charter school, the district in which the charter school is located must provide transportation to charter school students in the same way it provides transportation to students residing in or attending school in the public school district.) Basic skills and transportation sparsity revenues are calculated for the charter school, but a charter school receives the state average for all other components of general education revenue, except referendum revenue. Charter schools receive only the aid portion of referendum revenue, calculated based on the resident district of each student. Also, included in general education revenue is the state aid portion of a charter pupil's district of residence excess levy referendum. Finally, the operating capital component of general education revenue may be used for any purpose by the charter school.
2. Special Education Aid - Charter schools receive special education aid just as school districts do, and are allowed to bill back a disabled student's resident school district for any eligible special education costs that are unreimbursed. Charter schools are not eligible to receive special education excess cost aid.
3. Charter School Building Lease Aid - Charter schools with building leases qualify for aid equal to the lesser of (a) 90 percent of the approved cost of the lease, or; (b) the product of the number of pupils times their lease aid per pupil for 2002-03, or \$1,200, whichever is greater.
4. Charter School Startup Grants - Charter schools, for the first two years of their operation, are eligible for aid to pay for start-up costs and some operating costs. Start-up aid is the greater of \$50,000 per charter school or \$500 times the charter school's enrollment for that year.
5. Other aid, grants, and revenue - A charter school is eligible to receive other aids, grants, and revenue as though it were a school district, unless a property tax levy is required to obtain the money. Further, a charter school may receive money from any source for capital facilities needs.

Other Categorical Revenue

1. Abatement Revenue - A replacement for anticipated property tax receipts because property valuation has been reduced after the levies were certified. The aid applies to equalized levies only; districts may make an adjustment levy the next year for the remaining revenue loss. Districts may also levy for the shortfall in abatement aid. [126C.46]
2. Access to Minnesota's Transition Program for Children with Disabilities - Vocational programs for students with disabilities are eligible for salary, equipment and materials, travel and contract reimbursements similar to special education. [124D.454]
3. Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate Programs - The state pays all Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate exam fees for low income students, and a portion of those exam fees on a sliding scale based on income for all other students. The State also subsidizes a portion of the training costs for teachers in advanced placement or international baccalaureate courses. [120B.13]
4. Consolidation Transition Revenue - Districts that consolidate are eligible for state aid of \$200 per pupil unit in the first year of the consolidation and \$100 per pupil unit in the second year. The number of pupil units used to calculate this aid may not exceed 1,500. This funding is intended to cover early retirement costs of employees, operating debt of the districts, enhancing learning opportunities and for other costs of reorganization. If this aid is not adequate to cover the early retirement costs, the district may levy for the additional amount. [123A.485]
5. First Grade Preparedness Grants - Certain school sites are eligible for funding to operate full day kindergarten programs or half day programs for four year olds to develop reading and other skills necessary to succeed in school. School sites with the highest concentrations of pupils eligible for free and reduced price lunch are eligible for funding. School sites are ranked, and the funding is allocated and distributed, in four categories: Minneapolis, St. Paul, suburban school districts within the seven-county metropolitan area, and school districts in the balance of greater Minnesota. The funding is the amount equal to .53 times pupils enrolled in the program times the general education formula allowance. [124D.081]
6. Integration Revenue - This replaces the old operating and transportation integration aid, combining it into a single amount, distributed on a per pupil formula. The per pupil amounts are \$206 per pupil unit for Duluth, \$445 per pupil unit for St. Paul, \$480 per pupil for Minneapolis, and the lesser of \$92 or a district's actual costs, for any other district that implements a desegregation program or is a member of a multidistrict integration collaborative and would not otherwise qualify. A district which qualifies for \$92 per pupil but has a protected student (minority students as defined in Minnesota Rules) enrollment of more than 15 percent of its student population qualifies for \$129 per pupil or the district's actual costs, instead of the \$92 per pupil. Integration revenue must follow students to their district of attendance if the enrollment contributes to desegregation or integration purposes. Integration revenue is part state aid, part local levy. [124D.86]
7. Library Basic Support Grants - For grants to public libraries for operations, interlibrary programs and services. Can be used for data and video access costs, improving or maintain electronic access, and connecting the library system with the state information infrastructure. [134.35]

8. Magnet School Start-up Grants - During the first two years of a metropolitan magnet school's operation, the school is eligible for aid for start-up costs and additional operating costs of \$500 times the school's pupil units served during the year for which the aid is received. [124D.88]
9. Multicounty, Multitype Library System Grants - Multicounty or multitype libraries are eligible to receive grants for development or operations. Consideration of costs for sparsely populated or large geographic areas must be made when the grants are made. [134.353]
10. Nonpublic Pupil Aid - Public school districts receive aid to fund services and textbooks for the benefit of nonpublic school students. The funding can be used for secular textbooks and other instructional materials, and the services include health services and secondary guidance and counseling services. The textbook funding level is set at the average amount expended in public schools per pupil for similar materials in the second prior year, multiplied by a factor equal to the growth in the basic formula amount between the second prior year and the current year. Similarly, health services are reimbursed on a per pupil basis to the public school district at the rate of the lesser of their actual cost or the average cost of providing those services to public school students in the second prior year, and guidance and counseling services are reimbursed on a per secondary pupil basis at the rate of the lesser of their actual cost or the average cost of providing those services to public school secondary students in the second prior year. [123B.40-123B.48]
11. Nonpublic Pupil Transportation - Nonpublic pupil transportation revenue is equal to the cost per pupil of providing transportation services in the base year (the second prior year, for 2005-06 the base year is 2003-04) and then adjusted for the change in the general education formula allowance between the current year and the base year. [123B.92]
12. Regional Library Telecommunications Aid - For regional public library systems to cover data and video access, maintenance, equipment, or installation of telecommunications lines. [134.47]
13. Safe Schools Levy - A district may levy up to \$27 per pupil unit for the costs of peace officers used for school liaison services, drug prevention programs, gang resistance education programs and security costs in the district's schools and on school property. [126C.44]
14. School Breakfast Aid - Schools are eligible to receive 55 cents for each fully paid breakfast and 30 cents each reduced price breakfast served. Districts that receive school breakfast aid must provide breakfast without charge to those students eligible for free and reduced price meals. [124D.1158]
15. School Lunch Aid - Schools are eligible to receive up to 10 cents of state funding for each lunch served. [124D.111]

Adult Basic Education

Adult Basic Education (ABE) offers workforce instruction, literacy tutoring, English proficiency for speakers of other languages, citizenship training, work readiness, corrections education and adult education for homeless people. ABE participants must be over 16 years of age and currently not attending secondary or elementary education with the goal of earning a high school diploma or equivalency certificate. School districts may cooperate and form an ABE consortium, working with other districts and combining ABE aid. School boards and consortiums offering an ABE program may charge a sliding scale fee for students over 21 who are able to pay. [124D.52]

ABE aid has four components, basic population aid, contact hour aid, LEP aid and aid for adults over age 20 with no diploma. Basic population aid is equal to \$1.73 times the greater of 3,844 or the actual population of the district. Once basic population aid is subtracted from the state appropriation for ABE, the balance is distributed as follows:

- 84 percent for contact hour aid, distributed to ABE providers based on the total number of contact hours provided during the prior program year. Money is distributed based on the estimated number of contact hours provided in the prior year multiplied by a variable dollar rate which is based on the total number of contact hours and the available funds. Prior year contact hour aid growth cannot exceed the greater of 8 percent or \$10,000.
- 8 percent for LEP aid, distributed based on the proportion of the state's LEP student enrollment at the ABE program.
- 8 percent for adult diploma aid based on the school district population of adults over age 20 who do not have a high school diploma.

Example – Adult Basic Education Aid

Gopherville School District

District Population	=	39,000
Contact Hours	=	16,000
Contact Hour Rate *	=	\$4.79
Prior Year Contact Hour Aid	=	\$72,000
LEP Enrollment	=	65
LEP Rate *	=	\$36.45
Over 20, No Diploma Count	=	1,000
Over 20, No Diploma Rate *	=	\$4.28

* Rates are calculated by the Department of Education and are based on the available appropriation and the census in each type of these types of funding.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Basic Population Aid} &= \$1.73 \times \text{District Population} \\
 &= \$1.73 \times 39,000 \\
 &= \$67,470
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Contact Hour Aid} &= \text{Contact Hour Rate} \times \text{Contact Hours} \\
 &= \$4.79 \times 16,000 \\
 &= \$76,640
 \end{aligned}$$

Contact Hour Aid cannot exceed Prior Year Contact Hour Aid by more than 8 percent or \$10,000

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Prior Year Contact} \\
 \text{Hour Aid} &= \$72,000
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Current – Prior Year} &= \$76,640 - \$72,000 \\
 &= \$4,640
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Percent Growth} &= \$4,640 / \$72,000 \\
 &= 6.4\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Gopherville’s Contact Hour Aid growth is less than \$10,000 (at \$4,640) and less than 8 percent (at 6.4 percent), so no adjustment to their contact hour aid is necessary.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LEP Aid} &= \text{LEP Rate} \times \text{LEP Enrollment} \\
 &= \$36.45 \times 65 \\
 &= \$2,369
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Over 20, No Diploma} &= \text{Over 20, No Diploma Rate} \times \text{Over 20, No Diploma Count} \\
 &= \$4.28 \times 1,000 \\
 &= \$4,280
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ABE Aid Total} &= \text{Basic Population Aid} + \text{Contact Hour Aid} \\
 &\quad + \text{LEP Aid} + \text{Over 20, No Diploma Aid} \\
 &= \$67,470 + \$76,640 + \$2,369 + \$4,280 \\
 &= \$150,759
 \end{aligned}$$

Adults with Disabilities

As a part of the Community Education program, districts may offer programs for adults with disabilities. The adults with disabilities program supports activities such as increasing public awareness of the roles of people with disabilities, classes for adults with disabilities, outreach and marketing strategies to identify and encourage adults needing service, and services that meet consumer needs and enhance the role and contribution of people with disabilities in communities. Districts receive revenue equal to actual program expenditures up to \$60,000, with that revenue split one-half aid and one-half levy. Districts may receive additional revenue from public or private sources that will not change the aid amount paid by the State. [124D.19, subd 7 & 8, 124D.56]

Example – Adults with Disabilities Revenue

Gopherville School District

Adults with Disabilities Program Cost = \$40,000

Revenue = Program Cost, up to \$60,000
 = \$40,000

Levy = Revenue x 0.5
 = \$40,000 x 0.5
 = \$20,000

Aid = Revenue x 0.5
 = \$40,000 x 0.5
 = \$20,000

Community Education

Community education programs provide learning and involvement opportunities for people of all ages including providing school districts residents with the opportunity to utilize educational facilities and programs during non-school hours. Community Education programs may also be offered to K-12 students during the summer and other non-school times, and fees for those programs may be charged. Community Education revenue may also be used for educational programming including: adults with disabilities, school age care, ABE and ECFE.

Community education revenue is equal to \$5.23 multiplied by the population of the district (per capita), or 1,335, whichever is greater (for 2006-07, the community education formula will increase to \$5.42). A district that implements a youth service program is also eligible for an additional \$1.00 per capita. Districts with a Youth After-School Enrichment Program also receive \$1.85 times the greater of (a) 1,335 residents or (b) the population of the district, up to 10,000. Districts with populations over 10,000 offering a Youth After-School Enrichment Program also receive \$0.43 times the population greater than 10,000 in the district. To be eligible for its full community education revenue, a district must levy a maximum tax capacity rate of .985 percent. [124D.20]

First, the calculation for a district WITHOUT an After School Youth Enrichment Program:

Example – Community Education Revenue

Gopherville School District

District Population	=	13,000
Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)	=	\$2,000,000
Youth Service Program?	=	Yes
After School Enrichment Program?	=	No

Community Education Rate

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Community Education Rate} + \text{Youth Service Rate (if applicable)} \\ &= \$5.23 + \$1.00 = \$6.23 \end{aligned}$$

Community Education Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Community Education Rate} \times \text{the greater of: (a) 1,335 or (b) District Population} \\ &= \$6.23 \times \text{the greater of: (a) 1,335 or (b) 13,000} \\ &= \$6.23 \times 13,000 \\ &= \$80,990 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Community Education Levy} &= .985 \text{ percent} \times \text{ANTC} \\ &= .00985 \times \$2,000,000 \\ &= \$19,700 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Community Education Aid} &= \text{Community Education Revenue} - \text{Community Education Levy} \\ &= \$80,990 - \$19,700 \\ &= \$61,290 \end{aligned}$$

Now, the calculation for community education revenue for a district WITH an After School Youth Enrichment Program:

Loon Lake School District

District Population	=	13,000
Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)	=	\$2,000,000
Youth Service Program?	=	Yes
After School Enrichment Program?	=	Yes

Community Education Rate

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Community Education Rate} + \text{Youth Service Rate (if applicable)} \\ &= \$5.23 + \$1.00 = \$6.23 \end{aligned}$$

Regular Community Education Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Community Education Rate} \times \text{the greater of: (a) 1,335 or (b) District Population} \\ &= \$6.23 \times \text{the greater of: (a) 1,335 or (b) 13,000} \\ &= \$6.23 \times 13,000 \\ &= \$80,990 \end{aligned}$$

Youth After School Enrichment Program Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \$1.85 \times \text{the lesser of: (a) District Population or (b) 10,000} \\ &\quad + \$0.43 \times \text{District Population over 10,000} \\ &= (\$1.85 \times 10,000) + (0.43 \times 3,000) \\ &= \$18,500 + \$1,290 \\ &= \$19,790 \end{aligned}$$

Total Community Education Revenue = Regular Revenue + Youth After School Revenue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \$80,990 + \$19,790 \\ &= \$100,780 \end{aligned}$$

Community Education Levy = 0.985 percent x ANTC

$$\begin{aligned} &= .00985 \times \$2,000,000 \\ &= \$19,700 \end{aligned}$$

Community Education Aid = Community Education Revenue – Community Education Levy

$$\begin{aligned} &= \$100,780 - \$19,700 \\ &= \$81,080 \end{aligned}$$

Early Childhood and Family Education

As a part of the Community Education program, districts may offer an Early Childhood and Family Education (ECFE) program and educational services to families with children between birth and kindergarten. The program must focus on children from birth to age three. For 2005-06 the revenue for ECFE programs is equal to \$104 times the number of children under age five in the school district or 150, whichever is greater. School districts are also required to establish a reasonable sliding fee for ECFE classes. For 2005-06, districts must levy a tax rate of .5374 percent to be eligible for the full ECFE revenue. In addition, a district may also levy an additional \$1.60 per child under age 5 (or minimum of 150 children) for a home visiting program. [124D.13; 124D.135]

Example – ECFE Revenue

Gopherville School District

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Children under Age 5} & = & 1,000 \\ \text{Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)} & = & \$2,000,000 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{ECFE Revenue} & = & \text{Children Under Age 5} \times \$104 \\ & = & 1,000 \times \$104 \\ & = & \$104,000 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{ECFE Levy} & = & .5374 \text{ percent} \times \text{ANTC} \\ & = & .005374 \times \$2,000,000 \\ & = & \$10,748 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{ECFE Aid} & = & \text{ECFE Revenue} - \text{ECFE Levy} \\ & = & \$104,000 - \$10,748 \\ & = & \$93,252 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Home Visiting Levy} & = & \text{Children Under Age 5} \times \$1.60 \\ & = & 1,000 \times \$1.60 \\ & = & 1,600 \end{array}$$

School Readiness

School Readiness programs are targeted at children ages 3 to 5 years, and are intended to enable children to better prepare themselves to enter school with the necessary skills, behavior and family stability to be able to progress and do well. Half of the state appropriation for school readiness aid is divided among school districts in direct proportion to the number of four-year-old children in the district, compared to the number of four-year-olds in the state and half of the state appropriation for school readiness aid is divided among school districts in direct proportion to the number of students in the district from families eligible for free and reduced price lunches, compared to the number of students from families eligible for free and reduced price lunches in the state. [124D.15; 124D.16]

Example – School Readiness Revenue

Gopherville School District

Four-year-old Children in the District	=	200
Four-year-old Children in the State	=	50,000
District Students - Free or Reduced Lunch Families	=	500
State Students - Free or Reduced Lunch Families	=	250,000
State School Readiness Aid, 2005-06	=	\$9,018,000

School Readiness Aid

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\text{District Four-year-olds} / \text{State Four-year-olds}) \times (50\% \text{ of State School Readiness Aid}) \\ &+ (\text{District Free-Reduced Students} / \text{State Free-Reduced Students}) \times (50\% \text{ of State School Readiness Aid}) \\ &= ((200 / 50,000) \times (.5 \times \$9,018,000)) + ((500 / 250,000) \times (.5 \times \$9,018,000)) \\ &= (.004 \times \$4,509,000) + (.002 \times \$4,509,000) \\ &= \$18,036 + \$9,018 \\ &= \$27,054 \end{aligned}$$

School Aged Care / Disabled

Districts with a Community Education program may offer a School Age Care Program for children in kindergarten through grade 6 for the purposes of expanding learning opportunities. Districts are eligible for school age care revenue for the additional cost of providing services to children with disabilities or to children experiencing family or related problems of a temporary nature that participate in the school age care program. Revenue is equal to the approved additional cost of providing services to children with disabilities or children experiencing family or related problems of a temporary nature that participate in a school age care program. School aged care revenue has an equalizing factor of \$2,433. [124D.19, 11; 124D.22]

Example – School Aged Care Revenue

Gopherville School District

Pupil Units	=	1,000
Adjusted Net Tax Capacity (ANTC)	=	\$2,000,000
District ANTC per Pupil Unit	=	\$2,000
Equalizing Factor for School Aged Care	=	\$2,433
Approved School Aged Care Revenue	=	\$75,000

Revenue = Amount approved as additional cost
= \$75,000

Levy = Revenue x Lesser of: (a) 1, or (b) $\frac{\text{District ANTC per P.U.}}{\$2,433}$

= Revenue x Lesser of: (a) 1, or (b) $\frac{\$2,000}{\$2,433}$

= Revenue x Lesser of: (a) 1, or (b) .822

= \$75,000 x .822

= \$61,650

Aid = Revenue – Levy

= \$75,000 - \$61,650

= \$13,350

Other Categorical Family and Early Childhood Revenues

1. GED Test Fee - Reimbursement of 60 percent of a fee charged for the full general education development (GED) test. Reimbursement cannot exceed \$20 per individual. [124D.55]
2. Head Start - Head Start is provided to low-income children ages birth to five and their families. The program is designed to meet emotion, social, health, nutritional and psychological needs of the children, and promote the economic self-sufficiency of the parents. There are 34 Head Start grantees, including 23 community action agencies, 3 single purpose agencies, 1 school district and Tribal governments. State funds are allocated based on: (1) grantees' share of federal Head Start funds, and; (2) grantees' proportion of eligible children in the grantee service area who are not being currently served. [119A.50; 119A.51; 119A.52; 119A.53]
3. Health and Development Screening Aid - School districts are reimbursed for health and developmental screening services for children 3 to 5 years old, prior to their entry into kindergarten. The reimbursement rates are \$50 for each three-year-old screened, \$40 for each four-year-old screened and \$30 for each five-year-old screened. [121A.16; 121A.17; 121A.18; 121A.19]
4. Hearing Impaired Adults - A program which provides interpreters or note-taker services for adults with hearing impairments wishing to continue their education on a part-time basis. Grantees include local school district adult education programs, adult technical college programs and vocational educational programs sponsored by public/private community agencies. [124D.57]

Property Tax Relief Aids

Property tax aids are intended to replace property tax levies with state payments for local taxing jurisdictions. Property tax credits reduce property taxes with state payments for individual taxpayers. In both cases, the effect is that the property tax payer pays less than what the taxes would otherwise be on the property, and the state makes up the difference with state payments to the taxing district. The major tax relief programs are the homestead credit, the agriculture credit, referendum tax base replacement aid, county program aid and local government aid. Other smaller programs include disparity reduction aid and taconite aids. Most school districts receive some level of aid under all of these programs except local government aid, which is only available to cities.

Homestead Credit

The market value homestead credit reduces the overall property tax burdens for homeowners, particularly for low-valued homes. The credit applies to all residential homesteads, including the house, garage, and one-acre of farm homesteads, and equals 0.4 percent multiplied by the market value of the property up to a maximum credit amount of \$304 with the credit being phased out for home values over \$76,000. The rate of phase-out equals .09 percent times the market value above \$76,000, resulting in the credit being fully phased-out for homes valued at \$414,000 or more.

Agricultural Credit

The market value agricultural credit reduces the overall property tax burdens for homeowners, particularly for low-valued agricultural homesteads. The credit applies to all agricultural homesteads, but does not apply to the house, garage, and surrounding one acre of farmland, since that portion of the property benefits from the homestead credit. The credit equals 0.3 percent multiplied by the market value of the agricultural portion of the property up to a maximum credit amount of \$345, with the credit being partially phased out for land values over \$115,000. The credit begins to phase down between \$115,000 and \$345,000 in value. Agricultural homesteads with values of \$345,000 and higher receive a credit of \$230.

Referendum Tax Base Replacement Aid

Operating referendum levies are not assessed on agricultural land or cabins. In order to prevent the shift of tax burden for referendum levies from these types of properties to other classes of property, districts are paid referendum tax base replacement aid. Payments to school districts equal the amount of taxes cabins and farms would have otherwise paid for existing levies had they not been exempted, based on 2003 characteristics.

Property Tax Calculation - Residential Property

Tax Calculation for Homestead Property in a City
(For Property Taxes Payable in 2005)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Homestead Market Value} &= \$85,000 \\
 \text{Class Rate} &= 1\% \\
 \\
 \text{Tax Capacity} &= \text{Market Value} \times \text{Class Rate} \\
 &= (85,000 \times .01) \\
 &= \$850 \\
 \\
 \text{Tax Capacity Gross Tax} &= \text{Tax Rate} \times \text{Tax Capacity} \\
 &= \text{Tax Rate} \times \$850 \\
 \\
 \text{Market Value Gross Tax} &= \text{Tax Rate} \times \text{Market Value} \\
 &= \text{Tax Rate} \times \$85,000
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of Tax	Tax Capacity		Market Value	
	Tax Rate	x \$850	Tax Rate	x \$85,000
County Rate	51.7%	\$439	0.0%	\$0
City Rate	38.3%	\$326	0.0%	\$0
School Rate	25.4%	\$216	0.2%	\$170
Special Rate	5.0%	\$42	0.0%	\$0
Gross Tax	120.4%	\$1,023	0.2%	\$170

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Net Tax} &= \text{Tax Capacity Gross Tax} + \text{Market Value Gross Tax} - \text{Homestead Credit} * \\
 &= \$1,023 \qquad \qquad \qquad + \$170 \qquad \qquad \qquad - \$296 \\
 &= \$897
 \end{aligned}$$

*** Calculation of the Homestead Credit**

$$\text{Maximum Credit} = \$304$$

$$\text{Phase-out portion} = (\$85,000 - 76,000) \times .0009$$

$$= \$9,000 \times .0009$$

$$= \$8$$

$$\text{Credit} = \$304 - \$8 = \$296$$

Property Tax Calculation - Agricultural Homestead Property

Tax Calculation for Agricultural Property and Homestead
(For Property Taxes Payable in 2005)

Market Value	=	\$295,000
Home, Garage & 1 Acre market value	=	\$ 85,000
Farm Land market value	=	\$210,000
Class Rate = For Home, Garage and 1 acre:	1%	
For Agriculture land:	.55%	

Tax Capacity	=	Market Value x Class Rate
Tax Capacity, Home	=	(\$85,000 x .01)
	=	\$850
Tax Capacity, Land	=	(210,000 x .0055)
	=	\$1,155

Tax Capacity, Home and Farmland = \$850 + \$1,155 = \$2,005

Gross Tax = Tax Rate x Tax Capacity = Tax Rate x \$2,005

	Tax	x
Calculation of Tax	Rate	\$2,005
County Rate	51.7	\$1037
Township Rate	8.1%	\$162
School Rate	25.4	\$509
Special Rate	5.0%	\$100
Tax Rate / Gross Tax	90.2	\$1,808
Homestead / Ag Credit *		\$593.50
Net Tax		\$1,214.50

*** Calculation of Homestead and Ag Land Credits**

Homestead Portion (see previous page) \$296

Farmland Portion:

Maximum Credit = \$345

Phase-out portion = (\$210,000 - 115,000) x .0005

= \$95,000 x .0005

= \$47.50

Farmland Credit = \$345 - \$47.50 = \$297.50

Total Credit = \$296 + \$297.50 = \$593.50

Effect of Tax Relief Aids on School District Revenue

Gopherville School District

Total Property Tax Levies Certified by the School Board = \$1,670,000
 Total Direct State Education Aid Payments = \$2,435,000

Sum of the portion of the Homestead Credit allocated to school levy, summed for all homesteads in the school district = \$425,000

Sum of the portion of the Agriculture Homestead Credit allocated to school levy, summed for all agriculture homesteads in the school district = \$95,000

	Homestead		Agriculture		
Levy	Credit		Homestead		Net School
\$1,670,000 -	\$425,000	-	\$95,000	=	Property Tax
					\$1,150,000

This is the amount of school property tax that will actually be received from property owners in the school district after reductions for the homestead credit and the agriculture homestead credit.

The district receives the amount of homestead credit and agriculture homestead credit as state aid in addition to other state aid paid on education funding formulas.

		Education		Agriculture		
Direct State		Homestead		Homestead		Total State
Aid Payments		Credit		Credit		Aid Payments
\$2,435,000	+	\$425,000	+	\$95,000	=	\$2,955,000

Education Finance Appropriations

Fiscal Years 2005-06 and 2006-07

(\$ in thousands)

Education Excellence	\$127,103	\$136,973	\$264,076
Special Programs	\$642,431	\$643,627	\$1,286,058
Facilities & Technology	\$49,543	\$48,359	\$97,902
Family & Early Childhood Ed	\$86,060	\$86,179	\$172,239
Nutrition Programs	\$14,026	\$14,194	\$28,220
Libraries	\$11,573	\$11,573	\$23,146
Education Dept.	\$21,997	\$22,847	\$44,844
Minnesota State Academies	\$10,966	\$10,966	\$21,932
Center for Arts Education	\$6,623	\$6,623	\$13,246
	\$6,155,668	\$6,423,131	\$12,578,799

State appropriations are not made on an entitlement basis, but are appropriated based on a majority percentage of the current year's entitlement plus the balance of the previous year's entitlement adjusted for changes in formula variables, such as pupil counts or concentrations. For 2005-06, the appropriations equal 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, and the final 15.7 percent payment from the prior year. For 2006-07, the appropriation is 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, along with the final 15.7 percent from the prior year.

School District Property Tax Levies

Fiscal Years 2005-06 and 2006-07


	FY 2005-06 Payable 2005	FY 2006-07 Payable 2006
General Fund	\$754,336,900	\$1,036,262,200
Debt Service Fund	\$627,052,500	\$650,152,500
Community Service Fund	\$67,065,800	\$70,989,400
Total Levies	\$1,448,455,200	\$1,757,404,100

These are the levies certified (before applying the tax relief aids) for a specific year. Levy figures for payable 2005 are the amounts certified for 2005, and levy figures for payable 2006 are the amounts that are estimated to be certified for 2006 in the fall of 2006.

Levies providing revenue for 2005-06 were certified in the fall of 2004 and paid in May and October of 2005; levies providing revenue for 2006-07 were certified in the fall of 2005 and are paid in May and October of 2006.

FY 2005-06, Pay 2005 Levies

Total Levy: \$1.45 billion



Property Tax Relief Aid Payments to School Districts

Fiscal Years 2005-06 and 2006-07


	<u>2005-06</u>	<u>2006-07</u>
Border City Disparity Aid	\$686,000	\$711,000
Disparity Reduction Aid	\$8,654,000	\$8,736,000
Market Value Homestead Credit	\$60,793,000	\$58,772,000
Market Value Homestead Ag Land Credit	\$5,052,000	\$5,023,000
Taconite Replacement Production Tax Reduction	\$8,200,000	\$8,300,000
Taconite Aid Reimbursement	\$561,000	\$561,000
Other *	\$89,000	\$89,000
Total Tax Relief Aids	\$84,035,000	\$82,192,000

* Other includes Enterprise Zone, Attached Machinery and Disaster Credits and the prior year HACA adjustment

Tax relief aids are appropriated based on a majority percentage of the current year's entitlement plus the balance of the previous year's entitlement adjusted for changes in formula variables. For 2005-06, the appropriations equal 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, and the final 15.7 percent payment from the prior year. For 2006-07, the appropriation is 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, along with the final 15.7 percent from the prior year

Property Tax Relief Aid Payments

2005-06



Education Revenue Sources

This chart shows the revenue available for education from state and local sources. All state education finance appropriations including the Department of Education, Minnesota State Academies, the Minnesota Center for Arts Education, tax relief aid payments to districts, various dedicated revenues, and net education property tax levies are included. (Net levies are certified levies minus tax relief aids.) Federal revenues and fees charged by districts are not included. These are total revenue figures, not revenue per pupil unit.

School District Revenue 2005-06 and 2006-07

	<u>2005-06</u>	<u>2006-07</u>
State Appropriation (1)	\$6,185,394,583	\$6,452,857,600
Tax Relief Aid (1)	\$84,035,000	\$82,192,000
Dedicated Funds (2)	\$30,575,133	\$30,881,133
Net Education Tax Levy (3)	\$1,364,420,200	\$1,675,212,100
Total Revenue	<u>\$7,664,424,916</u>	<u>\$8,241,142,833</u>
Percent from State Sources	82.2%	79.7%
Percent from Property Taxes	17.8%	20.3%

(1) The state appropriation and tax relief aids are calculated on an appropriation rather than entitlement basis, with the appropriation generally equal to a majority percentage of the current year's entitlement plus the balance of the previous year's entitlement adjusted for changes in formula variables, such as pupil counts or concentrations. For 2005-06, the appropriations equal 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, and the final 15.7 percent payment from the prior year. For 2006-07, the appropriation is 84.3 percent of the current year entitlement, along with the final 15.7 percent from the prior year. The state appropriation includes K-12 education appropriations (including state agencies), early childhood and family education appropriations, special TRA contributions for first class cities and maximum effort debt service.

(2) Dedicated funds include permanent school fund, trunk highway fund, alcohol impaired driver account, county apportionment and taconite revenue.

(3) The property tax figure is the amount levied or estimated to be levied for the school year.

Education Revenue Sources

Fiscal Year 2005-06 - Total Revenue \$7,664,424,916

